

UNITED STATES  
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION  
Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-Q

QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the quarterly period ended June 30, 2010

or

TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

From the transition period from \_\_\_\_\_ to \_\_\_\_\_

Commission File Number: 333-139042

**SHOPOFF PROPERTIES TRUST, INC.**

*(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)*

**Maryland**  
*(State or Other Jurisdiction of  
Incorporation of Organization)*

**20-5882165**  
*(I.R.S. Employer  
Identification No.)*

**8951 Research Drive**  
**Irvine, California**  
*(Address of Principal Executive Offices)*

**92618**  
*(Zip Code)*

**(877) 874-7348**  
*(Registrant's Telephone Number, Including Area Code)*

**N/A**  
*(Former name, former address and former fiscal year, if changed since last report)*

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Sections 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes  No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule-405 of Regulation S-T (§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files. Yes  No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of "large accelerated filer," "accelerated filer" and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one):

Large accelerated filer  Accelerated filer  Non-accelerated filer  Smaller reporting company   
(Do not check if a smaller reporting company)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act).  
Yes  No

As of August 13, 2010, there were 1,957,000 shares of Shopoff Properties Trust, Inc. outstanding.

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

### PART I - FINANCIAL INFORMATION

Item 1. Financial Statements	3
Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets as of June 30, 2010 (Unaudited) and December 31, 2009	4
Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations for the Three and Six months Ended June 30, 2010 and 2009 (Unaudited)	5
Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the Six months Ended June 30, 2010 and 2009 (Unaudited)	6
Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited)	7
Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations	31
Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk	53
Item 4T. Controls and Procedures	53

### PART II – OTHER INFORMATION

Item 1. Legal Proceedings	54
Item 1A. Risk Factors	54
Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds	54
Item 3. Defaults Upon Senior Securities	55
Item 4. Removed and Reserved	55
Item 5. Other Information	55
Item 6. Exhibits	55
<b>SIGNATURES</b>	<b>56</b>
EX – 31.1	
EX – 31.2	
EX – 32.1	
EX – 32.2	

## PART I - FINANCIAL INFORMATION

### ITEM 1. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

The Registration Statement on Form S-11 (the "Registration Statement") of Shopoff Properties Trust, Inc. (the "Company") was declared effective by the Securities and Exchange Commission (the "SEC") on August 29, 2007. The June 30, 2010 condensed consolidated financial statements of the Company required to be filed with this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q within 45 days of the quarter end were prepared by management without audit and commences on the following page, together with the related notes. In the opinion of management, the June 30, 2010 condensed consolidated financial statements present fairly the financial position, results of operations and cash flows of the Company. This report should be read in conjunction with the annual report of the Company for the year ended December 31, 2009, included in the Company's Form 10-K previously filed with the SEC on March 30, 2010.

**CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS**  
**As of June 30, 2010 (Unaudited) and December 31, 2009**

	<b>June 30, 2010</b>	<b>December 31,</b>
	<b>(Unaudited)</b>	<b>2009</b>
<b>ASSETS</b>		
<b>Assets</b>		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 106,919	\$ 146,022
Restricted cash	305,889	189,953
Note and interest receivable	677,781	662,345
Real estate investments	18,511,070	19,034,147
Prepaid expenses and other assets	75,898	76,631
Property and equipment, net	79,270	100,211
Total Assets	<u>\$ 19,756,827</u>	<u>\$ 20,209,309</u>
<b>LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY</b>		
<b>Liabilities</b>		
Accounts payable and accrued liabilities	\$ 752,286	\$ 536,047
Due to related parties	64,053	16,700
Interest payable	90,326	72,493
Income taxes payable	—	42,986
Notes payable secured by real estate investment	3,908,416	4,900,000
Total Liabilities	<u>4,815,081</u>	<u>5,568,226</u>
<b>Commitments and Contingencies</b>		
<b>Equity</b>		
Shopoff Properties Trust, Inc. stockholders equity:		
Common stock, \$0.01 par value; 200,000,000 shares authorized; 1,943,800 and 1,912,100 shares issued and outstanding at June 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009, respectively	19,438	19,121
Additional paid-in capital, net of offering costs	16,294,768	15,768,971
Subscribed stock, \$0.01 par value, 6,300 shares subscribed but not issued at December 31, 2009	—	59,850
Accumulated deficit	(1,372,560)	(1,206,959)
Total Shopoff Properties Trust, Inc. stockholders equity	<u>14,941,646</u>	<u>14,640,983</u>
Non-controlling interest	100	100
Total stockholder's equity	<u>14,941,746</u>	<u>14,641,083</u>
Total Liabilities and Stockholder's Equity	<u>\$ 19,756,827</u>	<u>\$ 20,209,309</u>

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these condensed consolidated financial statements.

**SHOPOFF PROPERTIES TRUST, INC.**  
**CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS**  
**For the Three and Six months Ended June 30, 2010 and 2009**  
(Unaudited)

	<b>Three Months Ended June 30, 2010</b>	<b>Three Months Ended June 30, 2009</b>	<b>Six Months Ended June 30, 2010</b>	<b>Six Months Ended June 30, 2009</b>
<b>Revenues:</b>				
Sale of real estate	\$ —	\$ —	\$ 2,231,775	\$ 5,000,000
Interest income, notes receivable	24,728	181,559	45,450	345,474
Interest income and other	—	11,775	—	26,679
	<u>24,728</u>	<u>193,334</u>	<u>2,277,225</u>	<u>5,372,153</u>
<b>Expenses:</b>				
Cost of sales of real estate	131,283	(70,733)	1,487,956	2,907,008
Stock Based Compensation	112,482	—	224,964	—
Professional fees	56,277	96,993	188,263	260,359
Due diligence costs related to properties not acquired	16,240	—	38,779	46,094
Acquisition fees paid to advisor	—	—	—	69,000
Dues and Subscriptions	29,652	10,886	59,274	71,461
Insurance	50,434	55,802	100,868	111,604
General and administrative	134,565	77,912	261,609	132,551
Director compensation	50,875	37,939	95,750	76,818
	<u>581,808</u>	<u>208,799</u>	<u>2,457,463</u>	<u>3,674,895</u>
Net (loss) income before income taxes	(557,080)	(15,465)	(180,238)	1,697,258
Provision for income taxes	(14,637)	—	(14,637)	116,481
Net (loss) income available to common shareholders per common share:	<u>\$ (542,443)</u>	<u>\$ (15,465)</u>	<u>\$ (165,601)</u>	<u>\$ 1,580,777</u>
Basic	<u>\$ (0.28)</u>	<u>\$ (0.01)</u>	<u>\$ (0.09)</u>	<u>\$ 0.85</u>
Diluted	<u>\$ (0.28)</u>	<u>\$ (0.01)</u>	<u>\$ (0.09)</u>	<u>\$ 0.78</u>
<b>Weighted-average number of common shares outstanding used in per share computations:</b>				
Basic	<u>1,930,278</u>	<u>1,863,085</u>	<u>1,928,161</u>	<u>1,860,192</u>
Diluted	<u>1,930,278</u>	<u>1,863,085</u>	<u>1,928,161</u>	<u>2,028,942</u>

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these condensed consolidated financial statements.

**CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS**  
**For the Six months Ended June 30, 2010 and 2009**  
(Unaudited)

	<b>Six months Ended June 30, 2010</b>	<b>Six months Ended June 30, 2009</b>
<b>Cash Flows From Operating Activities</b>		
Net (loss) income	\$ (165,601)	\$ 1,580,777
Adjustments to reconcile net (loss) income to net cash used in operating activities:		
Gain on sale of real estate investment	(743,819)	(2,092,992)
Depreciation expense	22,384	8,655
Stock based compensation expense	224,964	—
Changes in assets and liabilities:		
Due to related parties	47,353	(116,497)
Accounts payable and accrued liabilities	216,239	(3,795)
Income taxes payable	(42,986)	116,481
Interest payable	17,833	—
Prepaid expenses and other assets	733	(18,327)
Net cash used in operating activities	<u>(422,900)</u>	<u>(525,698)</u>
<b>Cash Flows From Investing Activities</b>		
Purchase of property and equipment	(1,444)	(16,713)
Notes receivable, net	(15,436)	(2,645,474)
Real estate investments	(627,356)	(2,491,167)
Proceeds from sale of real estate investment, net	1,894,253	4,707,126
Real estate deposits	—	2,300,000
Net cash provided by investing activities	<u>1,250,017</u>	<u>1,853,772</u>
<b>Cash Flows From Financing Activities</b>		
Repayment of notes payable secured by real estate investment	(991,584)	—
Offering costs paid to advisor	—	(363,965)
Stock subscriptions	(59,850)	30,400
Issuance of common stock to subscribers	301,150	—
Restricted cash	(115,936)	(30,400)
Net cash used in financing activities	<u>(866,220)</u>	<u>(363,965)</u>
Net change in cash	(39,103)	964,109
Cash, beginning of period	146,022	7,486,696
Cash, end of period	<u>\$ 106,919</u>	<u>\$ 8,450,805</u>
<b>SUPPLEMENTAL DISCLOSURE OF CASH FLOW INFORMATION</b>		
Cash paid for interest	\$ 172,923	\$ —
Cash paid for income taxes	<u>\$ 37,000</u>	<u>\$ —</u>

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these condensed consolidated financial statements.

**NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**  
**(Unaudited)**

**1. ORGANIZATION AND NATURE OF BUSINESS**

*Formation and nature of operations*

Shopoff Properties Trust, Inc. (the "Trust") was incorporated on November 16, 2006 under the laws of the State of Maryland. The Trust intends to elect to be treated as a real estate investment trust ("REIT") for federal income tax purposes for its tax year ending December 31, 2011. The Trust was incorporated to raise capital and acquire ownership interests in undervalued, undeveloped, non-income producing real estate assets for which the Trust will obtain entitlements and hold such assets as long-term investments for eventual sale. In addition, the Trust may acquire partially improved and improved residential and commercial properties and other real estate investments. It is presently expected that the majority of the Trust's real estate related assets will be located in California, Nevada, Arizona, Hawaii and Texas. The Trust and all of its majority-owned subsidiaries are hereinafter collectively referred to as (the "Company" or "We").

The recent focus of our acquisitions has been on distressed or opportunistic property offerings. At our inception, our focus was on adding value to property through the entitlement process, but the current real estate market has generated a supply of real estate projects that are all partially or completely developed versus vacant, undeveloped land. This changes the focus of our acquisitions to enhancing the value of real property through redesign and engineering refinements and removes much of the entitlement risk that we expected to undertake. Although acquiring distressed assets at greatly reduced prices from the peaks of 2005-2006 does not guaranty us success, we believe that it does allow us the opportunity to acquire more assets than previously contemplated.

We believe there will be continued distress in the real estate market in the near term, although we feel that prices have found support in some of our target markets. We have seen pricing increase substantially from the lows of the past year or two, but opportunities continue to be available as properties make their way through the financial system and ultimately come to market. We are also seeing more opportunities to work with landowners under options or joint ventures and obtain entitlements in order to create long term shareholder value. Our view of the mid to long term is more positive, and we expect property values to improve over the four- to ten-year time horizon. Our plan has been to be in a position to capitalize on these opportunities for capital appreciation.

The Company is conducting a best-efforts initial public offering in which it is offering 2,000,000 shares of its common stock at a price of \$9.50 per share. If the 2,000,000 shares are sold, the offering price will increase to \$10.00 per share until an additional 18,100,000 shares of common stock are sold. On August 29, 2008, the Company met the minimum offering requirement of the sale of at least 1,700,000 shares of common stock. As of June 30, 2010, the Company had accepted subscriptions for the sale of 1,887,700 shares of its common stock at a price of \$9.50 per share not including 21,100 shares issued to The Shopoff Group L.P. and not including 35,000 shares of vested restricted stock issued to certain officers and directors. As of June 30, 2010, the Company had 112,300 shares of common stock at a price of \$9.50 and 18,100,000 shares at a price of \$10.00 remaining for sale. On August 27, 2009, the Company announced that it had extended the expiration date of its best-efforts initial public offering by one year, from August 29, 2009 until August 29, 2010 (or until the date the entire offering is sold).

The Company adopted December 31 as its fiscal year end.

As of June 30, 2010, the Company owned six properties (See Note 4 for additional information):

- Five hundred forty-three unimproved residential lots in the City of Menifee, California purchased for \$1,650,000.
- A final plat of seven hundred thirty-nine residential lots on a total of two hundred acres of unimproved land in the Town of Buckeye, Maricopa County, Arizona purchased for \$3,000,000.
- Approximately one hundred eighteen acres of vacant and unentitled land located near the City of Lake Elsinore, in an unincorporated area of Riverside County, California, acquired via a Settlement Agreement with Springbrook Investments, L.P., a California limited partnership ("Springbrook"), in which Springbrook agreed to execute and deliver a grant deed to the underlying real estate collateral in consideration for the discharge by us of all of Springbrook's obligations under a secured promissory note owned by the Company.

- Approximately 6.11 acres of vacant and unentitled land located near the City of Lake Elsinore, in an unincorporated area of Riverside County, California also acquired via a Settlement Agreement with Springbrook, in which Springbrook agreed to execute and deliver a grant deed to the underlying real estate collateral in consideration for the discharge by us of all of Springbrook's obligations under a second, separate secured promissory note owned by the Company.
- Five hundred nineteen entitled but unimproved residential lots and two commercial lots located in the City of Lake Elsinore, California purchased as part of a larger transaction with an overall purchase price of \$9,600,000. The five hundred nineteen entitled but unimproved residential lots and two commercial lots have an allocated basis of \$6,330,000.
- Four hundred acres of unentitled and unimproved land located in the City of Chino Hills, California purchased as part of a larger transaction with an overall purchase price of \$9,600,000. The four hundred acres have an allocated basis of \$3,270,000.

Through June 30, 2010, the Company had originated three loans: a \$600,000 secured loan to Mesquite Venture I, LLC and two secured loans totaling \$2,300,000 to Aware Development Company, Inc., of which one loan, the \$600,000 secured loan due from Mesquite Venture I, LLC, was outstanding as of June 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009 (See Note 3).

The Company's day-to-day operations are managed by Shopoff Advisors, L.P., a Delaware limited partnership (the "Advisor"), as further discussed in Note 7. The Advisor manages, supervises and performs the various administrative functions necessary to carry out our day-to-day operations. In addition, the Advisor identifies and presents potential investment opportunities and is responsible for our marketing, sales and client services. Pursuant to the Advisory Agreement, the Advisor's activities are subject to oversight by our board of directors.

The Company's majority-owned subsidiary, Shopoff Partners, L.P., a Maryland limited partnership (the "Operating Partnership"), or wholly owned subsidiaries of the Operating Partnership, will own substantially all of the properties acquired on behalf of the Company. The Trust's wholly owned subsidiary, Shopoff General Partner, LLC, a Maryland limited liability company (the "Sole General Partner"), is the sole general partner of the Operating Partnership and owns 1% of the equity interest therein. The Trust and the Advisor own 98% and 1% of the Operating Partnership, respectively, as limited partners.

### ***Liquidity Matters***

The accompanying condensed consolidated financial statements have been prepared assuming the Company will continue to meet its liquidity requirements for the foreseeable future. As of June 30, 2010, the Company had an accumulated deficit of \$1,372,560 and also had negative cash flows from operations for the six months ended June 30, 2010 and for the year ended December 31, 2009 of approximately \$423,000 and \$557,000, respectively. As further discussed in Note 4, in November 2009 the Company paid \$9.6 million in a combination of cash and a seller financed purchase note as part of the consideration to purchase 519 entitled but unimproved residential lots and 2 commercial lots located in the City of Lake Elsinore, California, and 400 acres of unentitled and unimproved land located in the City of Chino Hills, California, which significantly reduced funds available for operations as of December 31, 2009. In addition and as of December 31, 2009, the Company had two notes payable totaling \$4,900,000 maturing in 2010. These conditions raise concerns about the Company's ability to continue to meet its liquidity requirements for the foreseeable future and, as a result management took the following actions: Subsequent to December 31, 2009, management extended each of the two notes payable discussed above for one year in exchange for a principal pay down at the initial maturity dates of at least \$500,000 for each note and an increase in the existing interest rate for each note of two percent. As further discussed in Note 5, as of June 30, 2010, one of the two notes payable to TSG Little Valley, L.P. and AZPro Developments Inc., totaling \$4,900,000, a \$2,900,000 secured promissory note, has been partially paid down, as during the three months ended March 31, 2010 the Company made a \$991,584 principal reduction on this secured promissory note, resulting in a current principal balance of \$1,908,416. Management also believes that (i) there has been a recent shift in the investment attitude from potential shareholders from a capital preservation to a long-term capital appreciation mentality which has resulted in an increase in sales of Company common stock as compared to results for the twelve months ended December 31, 2009 although the Company does not anticipate a significant amount of new sales from June 30, 2010 until the offering ends August 29, 2010, (ii) sales of Company assets as an alternative funding source is viable as on February 3, 2010, the Company closed on the sale of a Company asset to a third-party for a purchase price 243% higher than the purchase price originally paid for by the Company, and the Company received a purchase offer on an existing Company asset from a third-party at a purchase price that was 142% higher than the purchase price originally paid for the asset by the Company, (iii) lending sources for land assets have recently become more available although the cost of funds could be prohibitive in nature, however the Company is currently in discussions with multiple non-conventional lenders who may refinance the existing secured promissory note originated by TSG Little Valley, L.P. If a new loan is approved by any of the aforementioned non-conventional lenders, this refinance would pay off the remainder of the TSG Little Valley, L.P. loan and give the Company additional working capital to fund general operations; and (iv) a recapitalization of the Company whereby a third-party capital source would take partial ownership of existing Company assets in a joint venture arrangement in exchange for cash is possible as at least one real estate private equity firm has expressed an interest in taking a partial ownership position with existing Company assets. As a result of the extension of the notes payable maturity dates in addition to (i), (ii), (iii), and (iv) above, the Company believes it will have sufficient funds for the operation of the Company for the foreseeable future. The financial statements do not include any adjustments to reflect the possible future effects on the recoverability and classification of assets or the amounts and classification of liabilities that may result from the outcome of this uncertainty.

## 2. SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

The summary of significant accounting policies presented below is designed to assist in understanding the Company's condensed consolidated financial statements. Such condensed consolidated financial statements and accompanying notes are the representation of the Company's management, who is responsible for their integrity and objectivity.

The information furnished has been prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States ("GAAP") for interim financial reporting, the instructions to Form 10-Q and Rule 10-01 of Regulation S-X. Accordingly, certain information and disclosures have been condensed or omitted and therefore should be read in conjunction with the consolidated financial statements and notes thereto contained in the annual report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2009. In the opinion of management, the accompanying unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements contain all adjustments (which consisted only of normal recurring adjustments) which management considers necessary to present fairly the financial position of the Company as of June 30, 2010, the results of operations for the three and six month periods ended June 30, 2010 and 2009, and cash flows for the six month periods ended June 30, 2010 and 2009. The results of operations for the three and six months ended June 30, 2010 are not necessarily indicative of the results anticipated for the entire year ending December 31, 2010. Amounts related to disclosure of December 31, 2009 balances within these interim condensed consolidated financial statements were derived from the audited 2009 consolidated financial statements and notes thereto.

### *Principles of Consolidation*

Since the Company's wholly owned subsidiary, Shopoff General Partner, LLC, is the sole general partner of the Operating Partnership and has unilateral control over its management and major operating decisions (even if additional limited partners are admitted to the Operating Partnership), the accounts of the Operating Partnership are consolidated in the Company's condensed consolidated financial statements. The accounts of Shopoff General Partner, LLC are also consolidated in the Company's condensed consolidated financial statements since it is wholly owned by the Company. SPT Real Estate Finance, LLC, SPT-SWRC, LLC, SPT-Lake Elsinore Holding Co., LLC, SPT AZ Land Holdings, LLC and Shopoff TRS, Inc. are also 100% owned by the Operating Partnership and therefore their accounts are consolidated in the Company's financial statements as of and for the three and six month periods ended June 30, 2010 and as of December 31, 2009.

All intercompany accounts and transactions are eliminated in consolidation.

### *Use of Estimates*

It is the Company's policy to require management to make estimates and judgments that affect the reported amounts of assets, liabilities, revenues and expenses, and the related disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities. These estimates will be made and evaluated on an on-going basis, using information that is currently available as well as applicable assumptions believed to be reasonable under the circumstances. Actual results may vary from those estimates; in addition, such estimates could be different under other conditions and/or if we use alternative assumptions.

### *Reclassifications*

Certain amounts in the Company's prior period consolidated financial statements have been reclassified to conform to the current period presentation. These reclassifications have not changed the results of operations of prior periods.

### *Cash and Cash Equivalents*

The Company considers all highly liquid short-term investments with original maturities of three months or less when purchased to be cash equivalents.

### *Concentrations of Credit Risk*

The financial instrument that potentially exposes the Company to a concentration of credit risk consists of cash. As of June 30, 2010, we did not have any Bank balances in excess of the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation ("FDIC") limit of \$250,000.

As of June 30, 2010, the Company maintained marketable securities in a money market account, however the outstanding balance of this money market account as of June 30, 2010 was not in excess of the Securities Investor Protection Corporation ("SIPC") limit of \$500,000. This money market account, also known as a brokerage safekeeping account, is protected by additional coverage that the financial institution has purchased through Lloyd's of London, which provides additional protection up to \$149.5 million.

The Company's real estate related assets are located in Arizona, California and Nevada. Accordingly, there is a geographic concentration of risk subject to fluctuations in the local economies of Arizona, California and Nevada. Additionally, the Company's operations are generally dependent upon the real estate industry, which is historically subject to fluctuations in local, regional and national economies.

### *Revenue and Profit Recognition*

It is the Company's policy to recognize gains on the sale of investment properties. In order to qualify for immediate recognition of revenue on the transaction date, the Company requires that the sale be consummated, the buyer's initial and continuing investment be adequate to demonstrate a commitment to pay, any receivable resulting from seller financing not be subject to future subordination, and that the usual risks and rewards of ownership be transferred to the buyer. We would expect these criteria to be met at the close of escrow. The Company's policy also requires that the seller not have any substantial continuing involvement with the property. If we have a commitment to the buyer in a specific dollar amount, such commitment will be accrued and the recognized gain on the sale will be reduced accordingly.

Transactions with unrelated parties which in substance are sales but which do not meet the criteria described in the preceding paragraph will be accounted for using the appropriate method (such as the installment, deposit, or cost recovery method) as set forth in the Company's policy. Any disposition of a real estate asset which in substance is not deemed to be a "sale" for accounting purposes will be reported as a financing, leasing, or profit-sharing arrangement as considered appropriate under the circumstances of the specific transaction.

For income-producing properties, we intend to recognize base rental income on a straight-line basis over the terms of the respective lease agreements (including any rent holidays). Differences between recognized rental income and amounts contractually due under the lease agreements will be credited or charged (as applicable) to rent receivable. Tenant reimbursement revenue, which is expected to be comprised of additional amounts recoverable from tenants for common area maintenance expenses and certain other expenses, will be recognized as revenue in the period in which the related expenses are incurred.

Interest income on the Company's real estate notes receivable is recognized on an accrual basis over the life of the investment using the interest method. Direct loan origination fees and origination or acquisition costs are amortized over the term of the loan as an adjustment to interest income. The Company will place loans on nonaccrual status when concern exists as to the ultimate collection of principal or interest. When a loan is placed on nonaccrual status, the Company will reserve the accrual for unpaid interest and will not recognize subsequent interest income until the cash is received, or the loan returns to accrual status.

#### ***Notes Receivable***

The Company's notes receivable are recorded at cost, net of loan loss reserves, and evaluated for impairment at each balance sheet date. The amortized cost of a note receivable is the outstanding unpaid principal balance, net of unamortized costs and fees directly associated with the origination or acquisition of the loan.

The Company considers a loan to be impaired when, based upon current information and events, it believes that it is probable that the Company will be unable to collect all amounts due under the contractual terms of the loan agreement. A reserve is established when the present value of payments expected to be received, observable market prices, or the estimated fair value of the collateral (for loans that are dependent on the collateral for repayment) of an impaired loan is lower than the carrying value of that loan.

#### ***Cost of Real Estate Assets Not Held for Sale***

Real estate assets principally consist of wholly-owned undeveloped real estate for which we obtain entitlements and hold such assets as long term investments for eventual sale. Undeveloped real estate not held for sale will be carried at cost subject to downward adjustment as described in "Impairment" below. Cost will include the purchase price of the land, related acquisition fees, as well as costs related to entitlement, property taxes and interest. In addition, any significant other costs directly related to acquisition and development of the land will be capitalized. The carrying amount of land will be charged to earnings when the related revenue is recognized.

Income-producing properties will generally be carried at historical cost less accumulated depreciation. The cost of income-producing properties will include the purchase price of the land and buildings and related improvements. Expenditures that increase the service life of such properties will be capitalized; the cost of maintenance and repairs will be charged to expense as incurred. The cost of building and improvements will be depreciated on a straight-line basis over their estimated useful lives, which are expected to principally range from approximately 15 to 39 years. When depreciable property is retired or disposed of, the related cost and accumulated depreciation will be removed from the accounts and any gain or loss will be reflected in operations.

The costs related to abandoned projects are expensed when management believes that such projects are no longer viable investments.

#### ***Property Held for Sale***

The Company's policy, which addresses financial accounting and reporting for the impairment or disposal of long-lived assets, requires that in a period in which a component of an entity either has been disposed of or is classified as held for sale, the income statements for current and prior periods report the results of operations of the component as discontinued operations.

When a property is held for sale, such property will be carried at the lower of (i) its carrying amount or (ii) the estimated fair value less costs to sell. In addition, a depreciable property being held for sale (such as a building) will cease to be depreciated. We will classify operating properties as held for sale in the period in which all of the following criteria are met:

- Management, having the authority to approve the action, commits to a plan to sell the asset;

- The asset is available for immediate sale in its present condition, subject only to terms that are usual and customary for sales of such asset;
- An active program to locate a buyer and other actions required to complete the plan to sell the asset has been initiated;
- The sale of the asset is probable, and the transfer of the asset is expected to qualify for recognition as a completed transaction within one year;
- The asset is being actively marketed for sale at a price that is reasonable in relation to its current estimated fair value; and
- Given the actions required to complete the plan to sell the asset, it is unlikely that significant changes to the plan would be made or that the plan would be abandoned.

Selling commissions and closing costs will be expensed when incurred.

We believe that the accounting related to property valuation and impairment is a critical accounting estimate because: (1) assumptions inherent in the valuation of our property are highly subjective and susceptible to change and (2) the impact of recognizing impairments on our property could be material to our consolidated balance sheets and statements of operations. We will evaluate our property for impairment periodically on an asset-by-asset basis. This evaluation includes three critical assumptions with regard to future sales prices, cost of sales and absorption. The three critical assumptions include the timing of the sale, the land residual value and the discount rate applied to determine the fair value of the income-producing properties on the balance sheet date. Our assumptions on the timing of sales are critical because the real estate industry has historically been cyclical and sensitive to changes in economic conditions such as interest rates and unemployment levels. Changes in these economic conditions could materially affect the projected sales price, costs to acquire and entitle our land and cost to acquire our income-producing properties. Our assumptions on land residual value are critical because they will affect our estimate of what a willing buyer would pay and what a willing seller would sell a parcel of land for (other than in a forced liquidation) in order to generate a market rate operating margin and return. Our assumption on discount rates is critical because the selection of a discount rate affects the estimated fair value of the income-producing properties. A higher discount rate reduces the estimated fair value of such properties, while a lower discount rate increases the estimated fair value of these properties. Because of changes in economic and market conditions and assumptions and estimates required of management in valuing property held for investment during these changing market conditions, actual results could differ materially from management's assumptions and may require material property impairment charges to be recorded in the future.

### ***Long-Lived Assets and Impairments***

The Company's policy requires that long-lived assets be reviewed for impairment whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that their carrying amounts may not be recoverable. If the cost basis of a long-lived asset held for use is greater than the projected future undiscounted net cash flows from such asset (excluding interest), an impairment loss is recognized. Impairment losses are calculated as the difference between the cost basis of an asset and its estimated fair value. There were no impairment losses recorded for the three and six month periods ended June 30, 2010 and 2009 and the year ended December 31, 2009.

The Company's policy also requires us to separately report discontinued operations and extends that reporting to a component of an entity that either has been disposed of (by sale, abandonment, or in a distribution to shareholders) or is classified as held for sale. Assets to be disposed of are reported at the lower of the carrying amount or estimated fair value less costs to sell.

### ***Earnings Per Share***

Basic net income (loss) per share ("EPS") is computed by dividing income (loss) by the weighted average number of common shares outstanding during each period. The computation of diluted net income (loss) further assumes the dilutive effect of stock options, stock warrants and contingently issuable shares, if any.

As of June 30, 2010, the Company had granted 173,750 shares of restricted stock to certain directors and officers, 35,000 of which were vested as of June 30, 2010 and 138,750 of which remain unvested as of June 30, 2010. However, such unvested shares were not included in the calculation of EPS for the three and six months ended June 30, 2010 since their effect would be anti-dilutive.

As of June 30, 2010, the Company had 78,000 stock options that were granted to certain directors and officers, 16,400 of which were vested as of June 30, 2010 and 61,600 of which remain unvested as of June 30, 2010. The 78,000 stock options were not included in the calculation of EPS for the three and six months ended June 30, 2010 since their effect would be anti-dilutive.

The following is a reconciliation of the shares used in the computation of basic and diluted EPS for the three and six months ended June 30, 2010 and 2009, respectively:

	<b>Three Months Ended June 30,</b>		<b>Six Months Ended June 30,</b>	
	<b>2010</b>	<b>2009</b>	<b>2010</b>	<b>2009</b>
	( Unaudited)		( Unaudited)	
<b>Numerator:</b>				
Net income (loss)	\$ (542,443)	\$ (15,465)	\$ (165,601)	\$ 1,580,777
<b>Denominator:</b>				
Weighted average outstanding shares of common stock	1,930,278	1,863,085	1,928,161	1,860,192
Effect of contingently issuable restricted stock	—	—	—	168,750
Weighted average number of common shares and potential common shares outstanding	1,930,278	1,863,085	1,928,161	2,028,942
Basic income (loss) per common share	\$ (0.28)	\$ (0.01)	\$ (0.09)	\$ 0.85
Diluted income (loss) per common share	\$ (0.28)	\$ (0.01)	\$ (0.09)	\$ 0.78

#### *Estimated Fair Value of Financial Instruments and Certain Other Assets/Liabilities*

The Company's financial instruments include cash, notes receivable, prepaid expenses, accounts payable and accrued liabilities and notes and interest payable. Management believes that the fair value of these financial instruments approximates their carrying amounts based on current market indicators, such as prevailing interest rates and the short-term maturities of such financial instruments.

Management has concluded that it is not practical to estimate the fair value of amounts due to and from related parties. The Company's policy requires, where reasonable, that information pertinent to those financial instruments be disclosed, such as the carrying amount, interest rate, and maturity date; such information is included in Note 7.

Management believes it is not practical to estimate the fair value of related party financial instruments because the transactions cannot be assumed to have been consummated at arm's length, there are no quoted market values available for such instruments, and an independent valuation would not be practicable due to the lack of data regarding similar instruments (if any) and the associated potential cost.

The Company does not have any assets or liabilities that are measured at fair value on a recurring basis (except as disclosed below) and, as of June 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009, did not have any assets or liabilities that were measured at fair value on a nonrecurring basis.

When the Company has a loan that is identified as being impaired or being reviewed for impairment whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that their carrying amounts may not be recoverable in accordance with Company policy and is collateral dependent, it is evaluated for impairment by comparing the estimated fair value of the underlying collateral, less costs to sell, to the carrying value of the loan.

The Company's policy establishes a three-tier fair value hierarchy, which prioritizes the inputs used in measuring fair value. These tiers include: Level 1, defined as observable inputs such as quoted prices for identical financial instruments in active markets; Level 2, defined as inputs other than quoted prices in active markets that are either directly or indirectly observable; and Level 3, defined as instruments that have little to no pricing observability as of the reported date. These financial instruments do not have two-way markets and are measured using management's best estimate of fair value, where the inputs into the determination of fair value require significant management judgment or estimation.

The Company's policy also discusses determining fair value when the volume and level of activity for the asset or liability has significantly decreased, and identifying transactions that are not orderly. Company policy emphasizes that even if there has been a significant decrease in the volume and level of activity for an asset or liability and regardless of the valuation technique(s) used, the objective of a fair value measurement remains the same. Fair value is the price that would be received to sell an asset or be paid to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction (that is, not a forced liquidation or distressed sale) between market participants at the measurement date under current market conditions. Furthermore, Company policy requires additional disclosures regarding the inputs and valuation technique(s) used in estimating the fair value of assets and liabilities as well as any changes in such valuation technique(s).

The following items are measured at fair value on a recurring basis subject to the Company's disclosure requirements at June 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009:

		<b>As of June 30, 2010</b>				
		<b>Fair Value Measurements Using:</b>				
		<b>Carrying</b>	<b>Total</b>	<b>Quoted</b>	<b>Significant</b>	<b>Significant</b>
<b>Financial Assets (Liabilities)</b>		<b>Value</b>	<b>Fair Value</b>	<b>Markets</b>	<b>Observable</b>	<b>Unobservable</b>
				<b>Prices</b>	<b>Inputs</b>	<b>Inputs</b>
				<b>(Level 1)</b>	<b>(Level 2)</b>	<b>(Level 3)</b>
Cash Equivalents		\$ 42,202	\$ 42,202	\$ 42,202	\$ —	\$ —

  

		<b>As of December 31, 2009</b>				
		<b>Fair Value Measurements Using:</b>				
		<b>Carrying</b>	<b>Total</b>	<b>Quoted</b>	<b>Significant</b>	<b>Significant</b>
<b>Financial Assets (Liabilities)</b>		<b>Value</b>	<b>Fair Value</b>	<b>Markets</b>	<b>Observable</b>	<b>Unobservable</b>
				<b>Prices</b>	<b>Inputs</b>	<b>Inputs</b>
				<b>(Level 1)</b>	<b>(Level 2)</b>	<b>(Level 3)</b>
Cash Equivalents		\$ 45,996	\$ 45,996	\$ 45,996	\$ —	\$ —

***Noncontrolling Interests in Consolidated Financial Statements***

The Company classifies noncontrolling interests (previously referred to as "minority interest") as part of consolidated net earnings (\$0 for the each of the six and twelve months ended June 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009, respectively) and includes the accumulated amount of noncontrolling interests as part of stockholders' equity (\$100 at June 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009, respectively). Earnings per share continues to reflect amounts attributable only to the Company. Similarly, in the presentation of shareholders' equity, the Company distinguishes between equity amounts attributable to the Company's stockholders and amounts attributable to the noncontrolling interests — previously classified as minority interest outside of stockholders' equity. Increases and decreases in the Company's controlling financial interests in consolidated subsidiaries will be reported in equity similar to treasury stock transactions. If a change in ownership of a consolidated subsidiary results in loss of control and deconsolidation, any retained ownership interests are remeasured with the gain or loss reported in net earnings.

***Stock-Based Compensation***

The Company's policy requires that all employee stock options and rights to purchase shares under stock participation plans be accounted for under the fair value method and requires the use of an option pricing model for estimating fair value. Accordingly, share-based compensation is measured at the grant date, based on the fair value of the award.

### ***Organization and Offering Costs***

The Company's organization and offering costs may be paid by the Company's Advisor, broker-dealer and their affiliates on the Company's behalf. These organization and offering costs include all expenses to be paid by us in connection with the Company's ongoing initial public offering, including but not limited to (i) legal, accounting, printing, mailing, and filing fees; (ii) charges of the escrow holder; (iii) reimbursement of the broker-dealer for amounts it may pay to reimburse the bona fide diligence expenses of other broker-dealers and registered investment advisors; (iv) reimbursement to the advisor for other costs in connection with preparing supplemental sales materials; (v) the cost of educational conferences held by us (including the travel, meal, and lodging costs of registered representatives of broker-dealers); and (vi) reimbursement to the broker-dealer for travel, meals, lodging, and attendance fees incurred by employees of the broker-dealer to attend retail seminars conducted by broker-dealers.

### ***Income Taxes***

The Company intends to make an election to be taxed as a REIT under Sections 856 through 860 of the Internal Revenue Code, as amended, or the Code, beginning with the taxable year ending December 31, 2011. The Company has not yet qualified as a REIT. To qualify as a REIT, the Company must meet certain organizational and operational requirements, including a requirement to currently distribute at least 90% of ordinary taxable income to stockholders. As a REIT, the Company generally will not be subject to federal income tax on taxable income that it distributes to its stockholders. If the Company fails to qualify as a REIT in any year, it will be subject to federal income taxes on taxable income at regular corporate rates and will not be permitted to qualify for treatment as a REIT for federal income tax purposes for four years following the year during which qualification is lost unless the Internal Revenue Service grants the Company relief under certain statutory provisions. Such an event could materially adversely affect the Company's net income and net cash available for distribution to stockholders.

At December 31, 2009, the Company had a federal net operating loss ("NOL") carry-forward of approximately \$609,000 and a state NOL carry-forward of approximately \$1,626,000.

Utilization of the NOL carry-forwards may be subject to a substantial annual limitation due to an "ownership change" (as defined) that may have occurred or that could occur in the future, as required by Section 382 of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended (the "Code"), as well as similar state provisions. These ownership changes may limit the amount of NOL carry-forwards, and other tax attributes, that can be utilized annually to offset future taxable income and tax, respectively. In general, an "ownership change" as defined by Section 382 of the Code results from a transaction or series of transactions over a three-year period resulting in an ownership change of more than 50 percentage points of the outstanding stock of a company by certain stockholders or public groups. Since the Company's formation, the Company has raised capital through the issuance of capital stock on several occasions which, combined with the purchasing stockholders' subsequent disposition of those shares, may have resulted in such an ownership change, or could result in an ownership change in the future upon subsequent disposition.

The Company has not completed a study to assess whether an ownership change has occurred or whether there have been multiple ownership changes since the Company's formation due to the complexity and cost associated with such a study. If the Company has experienced an ownership change at any time since its formation, utilization of the NOL carry-forwards, and other tax attributes, would be subject to an annual limitation under Section 382 of the Code. In general, the annual limitation, which is determined by first multiplying the value of the Company's stock at the time of the ownership change by the applicable long-term, tax-exempt rate, could further be subject to additional adjustments, as required. Any limitation may result in the expiration of a portion of the NOL carry-forwards before utilization. Further, until a study is completed and any limitation is known, no amounts are being considered as an uncertain tax position or disclosed as an unrecognized tax benefit under GAAP. Due to the existence of the valuation allowance, future changes in the Company's unrecognized tax benefits will not impact its effective tax rate. Any NOL carry-forwards that will expire prior to utilization as a result of a limitation under Section 382 will be removed from deferred tax assets with a corresponding reduction of the valuation allowance. As of June 30, 2010, the Company did not have any unrecognized tax benefits.

The Company recorded a full valuation allowance against the losses carrying forward and any temporary differences and thus eliminating the tax benefit of the remaining loss carry-forward. In assessing the realizability of the net deferred tax assets, the Company considers whether it is more likely than not that some or all of the deferred tax assets will not be realized. The ultimate realization of deferred tax assets is dependent upon the generation of future taxable income during the periods in which those temporary differences become deductible. Because of the valuation allowance, the Company had no deferred tax expense / (benefit).

### Recently Issued Accounting Pronouncements

Recent accounting pronouncements issued by the FASB (including its Emerging Issues Task Force), the AICPA, and the SEC did not or are not believed by management to have a material impact on our present or future consolidated financial statements.

### 3. NOTE AND INTEREST RECEIVABLE

As of June 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009, the Company, through a wholly owned subsidiary, had invested in a real estate loan receivable as follows:

Loan Name Location of Related Property or Collateral	Date Acquired/ Originated	Property Type	Loan Type	Book Value as of June 30, 2010	Book Value as of December 31, 2009	Contractual Interest Rate	Annual Effective Interest Rate at June 30, 2010	Maturity Date as of June 30, 2010
Mesquite Venture I Mesquite, Nevada	9/30/2008	Vacant Land	Second deed of Trust	\$ 600,000	\$ 600,000	14.00%	14.00%	5/15/2010
<b>Reserve for loan losses</b>				<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>			
				<u>\$ 600,000</u>	<u>\$ 600,000</u>			

The following summarizes the activity related to the real estate loan receivable for the six months ended June 30, 2010:

Real estate loans receivable — December 31, 2009	\$ 600,000
Provision for loan losses	<u>—</u>
Real estate loans receivable — June 30, 2010	<u>\$ 600,000</u>

On September 30, 2008, the Company originated, through SPT Real Estate Finance, LLC, a real estate loan for an amount of \$600,000. The borrower was Mesquite Venture I, LLC. All attorney and closing costs were paid by the borrower. The loan was a second position lien behind a \$3,681,000 first position lien (the "Senior Loan"). The term of the loan was nine months due on June 30, 2009 and bore interest at an annual rate of 14%. The loan is secured by a deed of trust, assignment of rents and security agreement encumbering real property situated in the City of Mesquite with an appraised value of \$11,000,000 as of July 18, 2008. This loan is also secured by personal guarantees from four separate individuals.

The compensation received by the Advisor and its affiliates in connection with this transaction is as follows: (i) an acquisition fee equal to 3% of the loan amount, or \$18,000, and (ii) monthly asset management fees equal to 1/12 of 2% of the total loan amount, or \$1,000 per month, plus capitalized entitlement and project related costs, for the first year, and then based on the appraised value of the asset after one year. The total compensation received by the Advisor as of June 30, 2010 was \$39,000.

On or about June 30, 2009, the Company, through SPT Real Estate Finance, LLC, agreed to extend the maturity date of its secured note with Mesquite Venture I, LLC from June 30, 2009 to May 15, 2010. In consideration of this loan extension, Mesquite Venture I, LLC agreed to pay a loan extension fee of five percent of the outstanding principal balance or \$30,000 payable as follows: \$10,000 upon execution of the secured note extension, \$10,000 on October 1, 2009 and \$10,000 on January 1, 2010. Mesquite Venture I, LLC also agreed to make a \$10,000 payment on April 1, 2010, which was to be applied against accrued and unpaid interest. Interest will accrue on the outstanding principal balance at an annual rate of 14% and all accrued and unpaid interest and principal became due and payable in full at the new maturity date of May 15, 2010.

On or about October 12, 2009, the Company was informed that on September 28, 2009 a Notice of Default and Election to Sell Under Deed of Trust ("NOD") was recorded on behalf of East West Bank, as beneficiary ("East West Bank"), with respect to a senior deed of trust securing certain obligations of Mesquite Venture I, LLC to East West Bank, including without limitation indebtedness under the Senior Loan. The NOD was filed due to Mesquite Venture I, LLC's failure to pay the August 1, 2009 installment of principal and interest and all subsequent installments of principal and interest under the Senior Loan.

A default on the Company's secured note was triggered when Mesquite Venture I, LLC failed to make its \$10,000 loan extension fee payment due on October 1, 2009. Mesquite Venture I, LLC subsequently failed to make its \$10,000 loan extension fee payment due on January 1, 2010.

On December 24, 2009, PLQ Mesquite Investors, LLC, an entity controlled by one of the original guarantors of the Senior Loan, entered into a Mortgage Loan Sale Agreement whereby the holder of the Senior Loan, East West Bank, agreed to sell to PLQ Mesquite Investors, LLC, the \$3,681,000 mortgage note together with all of East West Bank's interest in and to any of Mesquite Venture I, LLC's property held by East West Bank, all collateral and any guarantees obtained in connection with the \$3,681,000 note. The purchase price paid by PLQ Mesquite Investors, LLC to East West Bank was \$1,800,000.

On December 30, 2009, East West Bank officially assigned to PLQ Mesquite Investors, LLC, the Senior Loan. Mesquite Venture I, LLC cured its first lien position default upon the purchase by PLQ Mesquite Investors, LLC, of the Senior Loan as PLQ Mesquite Investors, LLC's manager, was also a guarantor on the Senior Loan.

Mesquite Venture I, LLC and the Company's Advisor agreed that if Mesquite Venture I, LLC paid (i) all past due payments required as outlined in the extension agreement, (ii) the April 1, 2010 payment of \$10,000, and (iii) reimburses SPT Real Estate Finance \$10,000 for attorney's fees incurred due to Mesquite Venture I, LLC's failure to make timely payments as outlined in the extension agreement, SPT Real Estate Finance would consider Mesquite Venture I, LLC reinstated and would not pursue the guarantees from Mesquite Venture I, LLC and would no longer demand payment in full on their secured note. The total amount due from Mesquite Venture I, LLC was \$40,000.

On March 4, 2010, Mesquite Venture I, LLC paid SPT Real Estate Finance the negotiated \$40,000.

This note matured on May 15, 2010 and SPT Real Estate Finance, LLC did not receive payment in full as agreed to by Mesquite Venture I, LLC. Management has begun discussions with Mesquite Venture I, LLC regarding their matured \$600,000 promissory note with SPT Real Estate Finance and believes that this matured note is still fully collectible and that Mesquite Venture I, LLC will ultimately pay the entire outstanding principal balance and all accrued and unpaid interest to SPT Real Estate Finance, LLC.

For the three months ending June 30, 2010, SPT Real Estate Finance, LLC recorded interest income and a corresponding accrued interest receivable of \$24,723 on the secured real estate loan. The Company recognized interest income of \$21,000 related to this note for the three months ended June 30, 2009.

For the six months ending June 30, 2010, SPT Real Estate Finance, LLC recorded interest income and a corresponding accrued interest receivable of \$45,436 on the secured real estate loan. The Company recognized interest income of \$42,000 related to this note for the six months ended June 30, 2009.

SPT Real Estate Finance, LLC had an outstanding interest receivable of \$77,781 as of June 30, 2010 and \$42,345 as of December 31, 2009.

See Note 10 for additional information.

#### **4. REAL ESTATE INVESTMENTS**

##### ***Wasson Canyon Project***

SPT-Lake Elsinore Holding Co., LLC, an entity wholly owned by the Operating Partnership, was formed in March 2009 principally to acquire properties in the Lake Elsinore area of Riverside County, California. Because SPT-Lake Elsinore Holding Co., LLC is wholly owned by the Operating Partnership, the accounts of SPT-Lake Elsinore Holding Co., LLC are consolidated in the Company's consolidated financial statements.

On April 17, 2009, SPT-Lake Elsinore Holding Co., LLC closed on the purchase of real property constituting sixty five (65) finished lots located in the City of Lake Elsinore, Riverside County, California, for the purchase price of \$650,000. The purchase was made pursuant to a Purchase and Sale Agreement and Joint Escrow Instructions (the "Purchase Agreement"), dated April 14, 2009, by and between the SPT-Lake Elsinore Holding Co., LLC, buyer and MS Rialto Wasson Canyon CA, LLC, a Delaware limited liability company, as seller.

Pursuant to the Purchase Agreement, SPT-Lake Elsinore Holding Co., LLC agreed to replace existing subdivision improvement agreements and related bonds within 180 days of the closing and executed a deed of trust in the amount of \$650,000 securing this obligation. This obligation is customary in transactions of this type.

In addition, pursuant to the Purchase Agreement, MS Rialto Wasson Canyon CA, LLC has the right of first refusal to repurchase the Wasson Canyon Project from SPT-Lake Elsinore Holding Co., LLC. Under the terms of the right of first refusal agreement entered into in connection with this transaction, MS Rialto Wasson Canyon CA, LLC may exercise its right of first refusal by matching the terms and conditions of a bona fide offer received by SPT-Lake Elsinore Holding Co., LLC from a third party to purchase all or a portion of the Wasson Canyon Project.

Finally, pursuant to the Purchase Agreement, SPT-Lake Elsinore Holding Co., LLC assumed the obligations of MS Rialto Wasson Canyon CA, LLC as a party to a profits participation agreement, dated June 28, 2005 (the "Profits Participation Agreement"), pursuant to which SPT-Lake Elsinore Holding Co., LLC is obligated to share 50% of project revenues, less project costs and certain other deductions, earned by it (calculated based on MS Rialto Wasson Canyon CA, LLC's original basis) if the Wasson Canyon Project is resold in a bulk sale. The original parties to the Profits Participation Agreement were Wasson Canyon Holdings, LLC, as obligor, and Wasson Canyon Investments, L.P., as obligee. The obligee assigned its rights to Wasson Canyon Investments II, L.P., an entity whose general partner is an affiliate of the Company's sponsor, The Shopoff Group. As a result of the decline in overall property values since 2005, as a practical matter, the profits participation would only take effect in the event of a bulk sale of the Wasson Canyon Project in excess of \$7,500,000, or approximately \$115,385 per lot. Prior to the sale of the Wasson Canyon project by SPT-Lake Elsinore Holding Co., LLC to D.R. Horton Los Angeles Holding Company Inc., on February 3, 2010, as discussed further below, SPT-Lake Elsinore Holding Co., LLC negotiated a termination of the profits participation agreement.

MS Rialto Wasson Canyon CA, LLC is not affiliated with the Company or any of its affiliates.

The Company's affiliated advisor, Shopoff Advisors, L.P., received an acquisition fee equal to 3% of the contract purchase price, or \$19,500, upon consummation of the transaction.

On February 3, 2010, SPT-Lake Elsinore Holding Co., LLC, as seller, sold to D. R. Horton Los Angeles Holding Company Inc., a California corporation, as buyer, sixty five (65) residential lots and six (6) lettered lots in recorded Tract No. 31792 known as Wasson Canyon (the "Wasson Canyon Project"), located in the City of Lake Elsinore, County of Riverside, California. The sale was made pursuant to a purchase and sale agreement and joint escrow instructions, dated December 8, 2009 (the "Sale Agreement"), as amended. The sales price was \$2,231,775 in cash.

The Company's affiliated advisor, Shopoff Advisors, L.P., received a disposition fee equal to 3% of the contract purchase price, or \$66,953, upon consummation of the transaction.

The Company used the net proceeds from the sale for payment of outstanding liabilities of the Company, a partial pay down on an existing promissory note, and other general corporate purposes.

As of the six months ended June 30, 2010, SPT-Lake Elsinore Holding Co., LLC had incurred, in addition to the purchase price of \$650,000, an additional \$838,000 in capitalized project costs including the previously mentioned \$19,500 acquisition fee and \$66,953 disposition fee resulting in a gain on the sale of this asset of approximately \$744,000.

On January 20, 2010, Seller and Buyer entered into a certain First Amendment to Purchase and Sale Agreement and Joint Escrow Instructions (the "First Amendment"). Pursuant to the First Amendment, if the City of Lake Elsinore, the County of Riverside, or other governmental agency reduces the actual fee amounts payable by Buyer to less than the expected fee amounts as detailed in Exhibit A of the First Amendment, then Buyer will pay Seller an amount for each lot equal to the difference between the actual fee amounts payable by Buyer and the expected fee amounts for such lot.

On February 3, 2010, buyer and seller executed a participation agreement (the "Participation Agreement") in which Buyer agrees to pay to Seller, in addition to the sales price, fifty percent (50%) of any gross profit that exceeds a twenty four percent (24%) gross profit margin on the sale of units to be developed on the lots purchased by the buyer.

Pursuant to the Sale Agreement, the buyer did not assume the seller's obligation to replace existing subdivision improvement agreements (the "SIA's") and related bonds, which the Seller agreed to replace when Seller originally purchased the property on April 17, 2009 from MS Rialto Wasson Canyon CA, LLC, a Delaware limited liability company.

In the process of satisfying its obligation to replace existing SIA's, which Seller agreed to replace when Seller originally purchased the property on April 17, 2009, Seller agreed to provide letters of credit to the surety underwriting the Bonds to satisfy the surety's collateral requirement which was an amount equal to fifty percent (50%) of the Bond amounts or \$305,889, \$130,102 which was issued on January 6, 2010 and \$175,787 which was issued on February 8, 2010.

Seller has finished processing Bond reductions and has completed the replacement of all Bonds originally posted by MS Rialto Wasson Canyon CA, LLC.

Buyer agreed to reimburse Seller for the actual amount of premiums for the Bonds incurred by Seller commencing on the later of (i) the date of the close of escrow and (ii) the date Bond reductions are completed. Buyer's obligation to reimburse Seller for the actual amount of the premiums will continue until Buyer has completed certain adjacent Improvement obligations.

Buyer agreed to assume the obligation for typical repair and replacement of the Improvements immediately adjacent to the lots as required by the SIA's to the extent the Improvements are damaged following the close of escrow. Buyer also agreed to repair any damage to the Improvements that are not immediately adjacent to the lots to the extent damage is caused by Buyer or its agents, employees or contractors.

To secure Buyer's obligations to timely complete the adjacent Improvement obligations, Buyer agreed to deliver to Seller a letter of credit in the amount of \$102,000. If Buyer defaults in its obligations to timely perform the adjacent Improvement obligations, Seller has the right to draw on the letter of credit to complete the adjacent Improvement obligations to the extent necessary to cause the Bonds to be released.

***Underwood Project***

On May 19, 2009, SPT Lake Elsinore Holding Co., LLC, closed on the purchase of real property constituting 543 single family residential lots, a 9.4 acre park and over 70 acres of open space on a total of 225 acres of unimproved land commonly referred to as tract 29835 located in the City of Menifee, Riverside County, California, commonly known as the "Underwood Project." The purchase price was \$1,650,000. The purchase was made pursuant to a Purchase Agreement, dated May 13, 2009, by and between SPT-Lake Elsinore Holding Co., LLC as buyer and U.S. Bank National Association as seller.

U.S. Bank National Association is not affiliated with the Company or any of its affiliates.

The Company's affiliated advisor, Shopoff Advisors, L.P., received an acquisition fee equal to 3% of the contract purchase price, or \$49,500, upon consummation of the transaction.

As of the six months ended June 30, 2010, the Company's affiliated advisor, Shopoff Advisors, L.P., had been paid \$38,149 in asset management fees since the consummation of the transaction.

As of the six months ended June 30, 2010, SPT-Lake Elsinore Holding Co., LLC had incurred, in addition to the purchase price of \$1,650,000, an additional \$126,424 in capitalized project costs including the previously mentioned \$49,500 acquisition fee.

***Desert Moon Estates Project***

On July 31, 2009, SPT AZ Land Holdings, LLC, an entity wholly owned by the Operating Partnership, closed on the purchase of real property consisting of a final plat of 739 single family residential lots on a total of 200 acres of unimproved land commonly known as "Desert Moon Estates" located in the Town of Buckeye, Maricopa County, Arizona. The purchase price was \$3,000,000. The purchase was made pursuant to a Purchase Agreement, dated June 29, 2009, by and between SPT AZ Land Holdings, LLC as buyer and AZPro Developments, Inc., an Arizona corporation as seller. Thereafter, SPT AZ Land Holdings, LLC and AZPro Developments, Inc. executed a First Amendment to the Purchase Agreement modifying the terms of the original Purchase Agreement through the addition of a \$2,000,000 Secured Promissory Note in favor of AZPro Developments, Inc. (the "Promissory Note") (see Note 5) and deed of trust wherein AZPro Developments, Inc. will act as beneficiary reducing the cash required to close from \$3,000,000 to \$1,000,000.

AZPro Developments, Inc. is not affiliated with the Company or any of its affiliates.

The Company's affiliated advisor, Shopoff Advisors, L.P., received an acquisition fee equal to 3% of the contract purchase price, or \$90,000, upon consummation of the transaction.

As of the six months ended June 30, 2010, the Company's affiliated advisor, Shopoff Advisors, L.P., had been paid \$59,574 in asset management fees since the consummation of the transaction.

As of the six months ended June 30, 2010, SPT AZ Land Holdings, LLC had incurred, in addition to the purchase price of \$3,000,000, an additional \$696,915 in capitalized project costs comprised primarily of the previously mentioned acquisition fee, county and CFD taxes and accrued interest on the secured promissory note to AZPro Development, Inc.

See note 10 for additional information.

### ***Springbrook Properties***

On September 24, 2009, SPT-Lake Elsinore Holding Co., LLC, was deeded real property with an existing basis of \$2,624,647, from SPT Real Estate Finance, LLC, which was previously collateral on two separate secured real estate loans originated by SPT Real Estate Finance, LLC. The real property received was comprised of approximately 118 acres of vacant and unentitled land, and approximately 6.11 acres of vacant and unentitled land, both located near the City of Lake Elsinore, in an unincorporated area of Riverside County, California. Prior to September 24, 2009, SPT Real Estate Finance, LLC had entered into two separate Settlement Agreements with Springbrook Investments, L.P. (“Springbrook”), which agreed to execute and deliver to SPT Real Estate Finance, LLC, grant deeds to the underlying real estate collateral for two loans originally made by Vineyard Bank (the “Vineyard Loans”) that were acquired by SPT Real Estate Finance, LLC, in consideration for the discharge by SPT Real Estate Finance, LLC of all Springbrook’s obligations under the Vineyard Loans. SPT Real Estate Finance, LLC took title to the underlying real estate collateral on September 4, 2009.

The Company’s affiliate advisor, Shopoff Advisors, L.P., did not receive an acquisition fee upon consummation of the transaction.

As of the six months ended June 30, 2010, the Company’s affiliated advisor, Shopoff Advisors, L.P., had been paid \$41,637 in asset management fees since obtaining these properties from SPT Real Estate Finance, LLC.

As of the six months ended June 30, 2010, SPT Lake Elsinore Holding Co., LLC had incurred, in addition to the assumption of the existing basis of \$2,624,647 from SPT Real Estate Finance, LLC, an additional \$150,587 in capitalized project costs comprised primarily of county tax payments.

### ***Tuscany Valley Properties***

On November 5, 2009, SPT-Lake Elsinore Holding Co., LLC, closed on the purchase of real property, commonly known as “Tuscany Valley,” consisting of (a) 519 entitled but unimproved residential lots and 2 commercial lots located in the City of Lake Elsinore, California, and (b) 400 acres of unentitled and unimproved land located in the City of Chino Hills, California. The purchase price was \$9,600,000.

The purchase was made pursuant to a purchase and sale agreement and joint escrow instructions, dated September 30, 2008 (the “Tuscany Valley Purchase Agreement”), by and between the Company’s Advisor and TSG Little Valley L. P., a California limited partnership (“Seller”), whereby TSG Little Valley L.P. agreed to sell and the Company’s Advisor agreed to buy, 163 entitled but unimproved residential lots located in the City of Lake Elsinore, California. The contract purchase price was \$4,890,000.

The Tuscany Valley Purchase Agreement was subsequently amended by various agreements to postpone the closing date.

On September 3, 2009, the Advisor executed an assignment of purchase and sale agreement whereby the Advisor assigned all of its rights, title and interest in the Tuscany Valley Purchase Agreement to SPT-Lake Elsinore Holding Co., LLC.

Also on September 3, 2009, SPT-Lake Elsinore Holding Co., LLC entered into a first amendment to purchase and sale agreement and joint escrow instructions with Seller, which amended the Tuscany Valley Purchase Agreement as follows: (a) SPT-Lake Elsinore Holding Co., LLC agreed to purchase additional property from Seller consisting of 356 entitled but unimproved, residential lots and 2 commercial lots located in the City of Lake Elsinore, California and 400 acres of unentitled and unimproved land located in the City of Chino Hills, California, (b) the purchase price was increased to \$9,600,000 from \$4,890,000, (c) the nonrefundable deposit requirement was increased to \$2,000,000 from \$1,000,000, and (d) the escrow closing date was amended to on or before November 30, 2009.

On October 15, 2009, SPT-Lake Elsinore Holding Co., LLC entered into a second amendment to purchase and sale agreement and joint escrow instructions with TSG Little Valley L.P. to provide that \$2,900,000 of the purchase price would be paid by SPT-Lake Elsinore Holding Co., LLC’s execution and delivery into escrow of (a) an all-inclusive purchase money note secured by deed of trust (“Promissory Note”) in favor of TSG Little Valley L. P., as payee therein, in the principal amount of \$2,900,000, and (b) an all-inclusive deed of trust executed by SPT-Lake Elsinore Holding Co., LLC in favor of TSG Little Valley L. P., as beneficiary therein, securing the foregoing all-inclusive purchase money note (See Note 5).

Stevan J. Gromet, President of Portfolio Partners, Inc., a California corporation, the general partner of Seller, is a shareholder of the Company with ownership of 20,100 shares as of June 30, 2010, which represents approximately 1.03% of our total shares outstanding including 21,100 shares purchased by our sponsor and 35,000 vested restricted stock grants issued to our officers and directors.

TSG Little Valley L. P. is a shareholder of the Company with ownership of 32,700 shares as of June 30, 2010, which represents approximately 1.68% of the Company's total shares outstanding including 21,100 shares purchased by our sponsor and 35,000 vested restricted stock grants issued to our officers and directors.

The Advisor received an acquisition fee equal to 3% of the contract purchase price, or \$288,000, upon consummation of the transaction.

As of the six months ended June 30, 2010, the Company's affiliated advisor, Shopoff Advisors, L.P., had been paid \$117,627 in asset management fees since the consummation of the transaction.

As of the six months ended June 30, 2010, SPT Lake Elsinore Holding Co., LLC had incurred, in addition to the purchase price of \$9,600,000, an additional \$662,498 in capitalized project costs comprised primarily of the previously mentioned acquisition fee, county taxes, appraisal expenses, and accrued interest on the secured promissory note to TSG Little Valley, L.P.

## **5. NOTE PAYABLE SECURED BY REAL ESTATE INVESTMENT**

### ***Desert Moon Estates Note Payable***

As discussed in Note 4 and in connection with the closing of Desert Moon Estates, SPT AZ Land Holdings, LLC executed a \$2,000,000 promissory note and deed of trust in favor of AZPro Developments, Inc.

Interest on the Promissory Note accrues on the principal outstanding from the date of the promissory note at a rate of six percent (6.00%) per annum. Payments of interest only are due quarterly in arrears on November 1, 2009, February 1, 2010 and May 1, 2010. On the original maturity date of this note, July 31, 2010, the entire outstanding principal balance and all unpaid interest on this note was to be due and payable in full. The note is secured by a Deed of Trust with Assignment of Rents which encumbers the Desert Moon Estates Property. SPT AZ Land Holdings, LLC may prepay in whole or in part the principal amount outstanding under this note, together with accrued and unpaid interest thereon computed to the date of prepayment and any sums owing to AZPro Developments, Inc., without penalty or premium.

On March 11, 2010, our affiliate SPT AZ Land Holdings, LLC, executed an extension on the existing \$2,000,000 secured promissory note with AZPro Development Inc. A one year extension of the maturity date was granted to SPT AZ Land Holdings, LLC by AZPro Development Inc. in exchange for a principal pay down of \$500,000 on or before the original maturity date of July 31, 2010 and a two percent increase in the original interest rate beginning on the original maturity date of July 31, 2010. In addition to the principal pay down of \$500,000 on or before the original maturity date of July 31, 2010, SPT AZ Land Holdings, LLC agreed to pay current, all project taxes including any special assessments. The maturity date of July 31, 2010 was extended through August 31, 2010. See Note 10 for additional information.

If SPT AZ Land Holdings, LLC fails to pay any installment of interest by the fifth day of each calendar quarter, AZPro Developments, Inc. has the right to assess a late fee equal to 10% of the amount that is delinquent and the interest rate on the entire principal amount outstanding will adjust to 12% per annum from the date the delinquent payment was first due until the delinquent payment has been made. Similar penalties apply if the principal is not paid upon the maturity date.

For the three months ended June 30, 2010, SPT AZ Land Holdings, LLC recognized interest expense of \$29,918 on the outstanding note balance and made interest payments totaling \$29,260 to AZPro Developments, Inc.

For the six months ended June 30, 2010, SPT AZ Land Holdings, LLC recognized interest expense of \$59,507 on the outstanding note balance and made interest payments totaling \$59,507 to AZPro Developments, Inc.

#### ***Tuscany Valley Properties Note Payable***

As discussed in Note 4 and in connection with the closing of Tuscany Valley Properties, SPT-Lake Elsinore Holding Co. LLC executed a \$2,900,000 all-inclusive promissory note and deed of trust in favor of TSG Little Valley, L.P.

The note bears interest at a rate of twelve percent per annum, and has an initial maturity date in twelve months at which time all accrued and unpaid interest and principal is due in full. No payments are due during the term of the note. The note with its loan balance of \$2,900,000 includes the unpaid balance of that certain other promissory note having a loan date of April 3, 2006, in the original principal amount of \$2,000,000, payable by TSG Little Valley, L.P. to 1st Centennial Bank (the "Included Note"). The Included Note is secured by a deed of trust dated April 3, 2006 and recorded on April 10, 2006 in the Official Records of Riverside County, California as Instrument No. 2005-0254320. The outstanding principal balance on the Included Note as of November 3, 2009 was approximately \$1,750,000. The current payee under the Included Note is Multibank 2009-1 CRE Venture, LLC.

On March 5, 2010, our affiliate SPT-Lake Elsinore Holding Co., LLC, executed an extension on the existing \$2,900,000 secured promissory note with TSG Little Valley, L.P. A one year extension of the maturity date was granted to SPT-Lake Elsinore Holding Co., LLC by TSG Little Valley, L.P. in exchange for a principal pay down of at least \$500,000 on or before the original maturity date of November 6, 2010 and a two percent increase in the original interest rate beginning on the original maturity date of November 6, 2010. As of June 30, 2010, a principal pay down of \$991,584 had been made on the TSG Little Valley, L.P. promissory note.

Should TSG Little Valley, L.P. fail to pay any installments when due upon the Included Note, Buyer may make such payments directly to payee of the Included Note, and the amount shall be credited to the next following installment or installments due under the note. If Buyer fails to make any payment when required under the note, TSG Little Valley, L.P. has the option to immediately declare all sums due and owing under the note.

For the three months ended June 30, 2010, SPT-Lake Elsinore Holding Co., LLC recognized interest expense of \$57,096 on the outstanding note balance and made interest payments totaling \$0 to TSG Little Valley, L.P.

For the six months ended June 30, 2009, SPT-Lake Elsinore Holding Co., LLC recognized interest expense of \$131,249, on the outstanding all-inclusive note and made principal and interest payments totaling \$1,105,000 to TSG Little Valley, L.P. Of the principal and interest payments totaling \$1,105,000 paid to TSG Little Valley, L.P., \$991,584 comprised principal payments and \$113,416 comprised interest payments.

#### ***Future Minimum Principal Payments***

Future minimum principal payments due on notes payable as of June 30, 2010 and for the years ending on December 31 approximated the following:

2010	\$ 500,000
2011	3,408,416
Thereafter	—
	<u>\$ 3,908,416</u>

## 6. STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY

### *Common Stock*

The Company commenced a best-efforts initial public offering of 2,000,000 shares of its common stock at an offering price of \$9.50 per share. Once 2,000,000 shares are sold, the offering price will increase to \$10.00 per share until an additional 18,100,000 shares of common stock are sold or the offering terminates on August 29, 2010.

On November 27, 2006, The Shopoff Group L.P., the Company's sponsor, purchased 21,100 shares of the Company's common stock for total cash consideration of \$200,450.

As of June 30, 2010, the Company had sold and accepted 1,887,700 shares of its common stock for \$17,933,150 not including 21,100 shares issued to The Shopoff Group L.P. and not including 35,000 shares of vested restricted stock previously issued to certain officers and directors. As of December 31, 2009, the Company had sold and accepted 1,856,000 shares of its common stock for \$17,632,000 not including 21,100 shares issued to The Shopoff Group L.P. and not including 35,000 shares of vested restricted stock previously issued to certain officers and directors.

### *2007 Equity Incentive Plan*

On August 29, 2008, the Company adopted the 2007 Equity Incentive Plan (the "Plan"). The Plan provides for grants of stock options, stock appreciation rights (SARs), restricted stock and performance shares (sometimes referred to individually or collectively as "Awards") to the Company's nonemployee directors, officers, employees, and consultants. Stock options may be either "incentive stock options," as defined in Section 422 of the Internal Revenue Code (ISOs), or nonqualified stock options (NQSOs).

The Plan reserves 1,655,000 shares for issuance and to serve as the underlying equity instrument of all Awards granted under the Plan. The Company registered such shares with the Securities and Exchange Commission following the commencement of the offering by filing Form S-8 on August 5, 2008. When Awards made under the plan expire, or are forfeited or cancelled, the underlying shares will become available for future Awards under the Plan. Shares awarded and delivered under the Plan may be authorized but unissued, or reacquired shares.

### *Restricted Stock Grants*

The Company, under its 2007 Equity Incentive Plan, approved the issuance of restricted stock grants to its officers and non-officer directors on August 29, 2008, the date the Company reached the minimum offering amount of \$16,150,000. The restricted stock grants, which aggregated 173,750 shares and have an individual value of \$9.50 per share, have a vesting schedule of five years for officers and four years for non-officer directors. On August 29, 2009, 35,000 shares of restricted stock grants vested. During the year ended December 31, 2009, 5,000 shares of restricted stock were forfeited, and 5,000 shares of restricted stock were subsequently reissued. As of June 30, 2010, 138,750 shares of restricted stock remained unvested and outstanding. The forfeiture and reissuance were the result of a departure of one of our directors and her subsequent replacement as director.

The 35,000 vested restricted stock grants were recorded as credit of \$350 to common stock for the par value of the vested restricted stock grants and \$332,150 to additional paid-in capital in the accompanying consolidated balance sheets.

For the three months ended June 30, 2010, the Company recognized compensation expense of \$86,094 comprised of accrued compensation expenses for restricted stock grants that have not yet vested but whose expense is being recognized over the service period. The unvested restricted stock grant service period related to the compensation expense of \$86,094 ends August 29, 2010.

For the six months ended June 30, 2010, the Company recognized compensation expense of \$172,188 comprised of accrued compensation expenses for restricted stock grants that have not yet vested but whose expense is being recognized over the service period. The unvested restricted stock grant service period related to the compensation expense of \$172,188 ends August 29, 2010.

### Stock Option Grants

The Company, under its 2007 Equity Incentive Plan, approved the granting of stock options to certain of its officers and non-officer directors on August 29, 2009. A total of 78,000 non-qualified stock options were granted: (i) independent director Glenn Patterson, 3,500 shares, (ii) independent director Patrick Meyer, 3,500 shares, (iii) independent director Stuart McManus, 3,000 shares, (iv) independent director Melanie Barnes, 3,000 shares (v) director Jeff Shopoff, 3,000 shares, (vi) officer Tim McSunas, 25,000 shares and (vii) officer Kevin Bridges, 37,000 shares. The options granted vest in 4 or 5 equal installments beginning on the grant date and on each anniversary of the grant date over a period of 3 or 4 years. The options have a contractual term of 10 years.

The fair value of stock-based awards is calculated using the Black-Scholes option pricing model. The Black-Scholes model requires subjective assumptions, including future stock price volatility and expected time to exercise, which greatly affect the calculated values. There is insufficient trading history in the Company's common stock to allow for a historically based assessment of volatility. Furthermore, the Company's shares are not traded on an exchange. The expected volatility is therefore based on the historical volatility of publicly traded real estate investment trusts with investment models and dividend policies deemed comparable to those of the Company. In accordance with the guidance in the Accounting Standards Codification ("ASC") topic 718-S99 (originally issued as the SEC's Staff Accounting Bulletin No. 110) the expected term of options is the average of the vesting period and the expiration date. The risk-free rate selected to value any particular grant is based on the U.S. Treasury rate that corresponds to the pricing term of the grant effective as of the date of the grant. The Company does not expect to pay dividends in the foreseeable future, thus the dividend yield is assumed to be zero. These factors could change in the future, affecting the determination of stock-based compensation expense for grants made in future periods. The Company used the following weighted-average assumptions in determining the fair value of its officer and director stock options granted during the year ended December 31, 2009:

Expected volatility	70%
Expected term	6.9 yrs
Risk-free interest rate	3.0%
Dividend yield	—%

The weighted-average grant date fair value of officers and non-officer directors' options granted during the year ended December 31, 2009 was \$6.44.

For the three months ended June 30, 2010, the Company recognized compensation expense with respect to stock option grants of approximately \$26,388, which was recorded to additional paid-in capital in the accompanying consolidated balance sheets. Based on the Company's historical turnover rates and the vesting pattern of the options, management has assumed that forfeitures are not significant in the determination of stock option expense.

For the six months ended June 30, 2010, the Company recognized compensation expense with respect to stock option grants of approximately \$52,776, which was recorded to additional paid-in capital in the accompanying consolidated balance sheets. Based on the Company's historical turnover rates and the vesting pattern of the options, management has assumed that forfeitures are not significant in the determination of stock option expense.

The following is a summary of the changes in stock options outstanding during the six months ended June 30, 2010:

	Number of Shares	Weighted Average Exercise Price	Weighted Average Remaining Contractual Life (Years)
Outstanding at December 31, 2009	78,000	\$ 9.50	9.7
Granted	-	-	-
Outstanding at June 30, 2010	78,000	9.50	9.2
Exercisable at June 30, 2010	16,400	\$ 9.50	9.2

Based on the closing stock price of \$9.50 at June 30, 2010, aggregate intrinsic value of options outstanding at June 30, 2010 was zero.

Options outstanding that have vested and are expected to vest as of June 30, 2010 are as follows:

	Number of Shares	Weighted Average Exercise Price	Weighted Average Remaining Contractual Term in Years
Vested	16,400	\$ 9.50	9.2
Expected to vest	61,600	9.50	9.2
<b>Total</b>	<b>78,000</b>	<b>\$ 9.50</b>	<b>9.2</b>

## 7. OTHER RELATED PARTY TRANSACTIONS

The Company's Advisor and affiliated entities have incurred organizational and offering costs on the Company's behalf. Pursuant to a written agreement, such entities accepted responsibility for such costs and expenses until the Company's Registration Statement was declared effective by the Securities and Exchange Commission ("SEC") and the minimum offering amount was raised. However, at no time will the Company's obligation for such organizational and offering costs and expenses exceed 12.34% of the total proceeds raised in the Offering, as more fully disclosed in the Company's Registration Statement. We are allowed to reimburse the Advisor up to 15% of the gross offering proceeds during the offering period, however the Advisor is required to repay us for any organizational and offering costs and expenses reimbursed to it by us that exceed 12.34% of the gross offering proceeds within 60 days of the close of the offering on August 29, 2010. As of June 30, 2010, we had reimbursed the Advisor approximately \$418,000 in excess of the 12.34% limit.

As of June 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009, such costs and expenses approximated \$5,233,000 and \$5,111,000, respectively. Of the approximately \$5,233,000 incurred by the Advisor and its affiliates, the Company has reimbursed them approximately \$2,631,000. The \$2,631,000 of reimbursed organizational and offering costs was netted against additional paid-in capital in the accompanying consolidated balance sheets.

On November 27, 2006, the Advisor contributed \$100 for a 1% limited partnership interest in the Operating Partnership. Such investment is reflected as a minority interest in the accompanying consolidated financial statements.

The sole general partner of the Advisor is wholly owned by the Shopoff Trust. William and Cindy Shopoff are the sole trustees of the Shopoff Trust. The Advisor and its affiliates will receive substantial compensation and fees for services relating to the investment and management of the Company's assets. Such fees, which were not negotiated on an arm's-length basis, will be paid regardless of the performance of the real estate investments acquired or the quality of the services provided to the Company.

The Shopoff Trust is also the sole stockholder of Shopoff Securities, Inc., the Company's sole broker-dealer engaged in the initial public offering described above. Shopoff Securities, Inc. (which was formed in September 2006) is not receiving any selling commissions in connection with the offering, but is entitled to receive a fixed monthly marketing fee of \$100,000 from the Company's Sponsor and reimbursements from the Company for expenses incurred in connection with the sale of shares. The \$100,000 fixed monthly marketing fee and reimbursements from the Company for expenses incurred in connection with the sale of shares is not due and payable from the Sponsor to Shopoff Securities, Inc. until the completion of the offering and is contingent upon a determination by the Sponsor, in its sole and absolute discretion, that the payment of the fixed monthly marketing fee will not result in total underwriting compensation to Shopoff Securities, Inc. exceeding the amount which is permitted under the rules of the Financial Industry Regulatory Authority. As of June 30, 2010, the offering had not yet been completed. As the offering had not yet been completed the Sponsor had made no determination whether a payment to Shopoff Securities Inc. would exceed the total underwriting compensation permitted under the rules of the Financial Industry Regulatory Authority.

The relationship between the Company and the Advisor is governed by an advisory agreement (the "Agreement"). Under the terms of the Agreement, the Advisor is responsible for overseeing the day-to-day operations of the Company and has the authority to carry out all the objectives and purposes of the Company. The Advisor has a fiduciary responsibility to the Company and its stockholders in carrying out its duties under the Agreement. In providing advice and services, the Advisor shall not (i) engage in any activity which would require it to be registered as an "Investment Advisor," as that term is defined in the Investment Advisors Act of 1940, or in any state securities law or (ii) cause the Company to make such investments as would cause the Company to become an "Investment Company," as that term is defined in the Investment Company Act of 1940. The Company's Board of Directors has the right to revoke the Advisor's authority at any time.

In accordance with the Agreement, the Company will pay the Advisor the following fees:

- *Acquisition and Advisory Fees:* 3% of, with respect to any real estate asset or real estate-related investment acquired by the Company directly or indirectly, the contract purchase price of the underlying property.
- *Debt Financing Fee:* 1% of the amount available under any loan or line of credit made available to the Company upon the receipt of the proceeds from such loan or line of credit.
- *Asset Management Fee:* a monthly payment equal to one-twelfth of 2% of (i) the aggregate asset value for operating assets and (ii) the total contract price plus capitalized entitlement and project related costs for real estate assets held for less than or equal to one year by the Company, directly or indirectly, as of the last day of the preceding month other than a real estate-related investment and (iii) the appraised value as determined from time to time for real estate assets held for greater than one year by the Company, directly or indirectly, as of the last day of the preceding month other than a real estate-related investment and (iv) the appraised value of the underlying property, for any real estate-related investment held by the Company directly or indirectly, as of the last day of the preceding month, in the case of subsection (iv) not to exceed one-twelfth of 2% of the funds advanced by the Company for the purchase of the real estate-related investment.
- *Disposition Fees:* equal to (i) in the case of the sale of any real estate asset, other than real estate-related investments, the lesser of (a) one-half of the competitive real estate commission paid up to 3% of the contract price or, if none is paid, the amount that customarily would be paid, or (b) 3% of the contract price of each real estate asset sold, and (ii) in the case of the sale of any real estate-related investments, 3% of the sales price. Any disposition fee may be paid in addition to real estate commissions paid to non-affiliates, provided that the total real estate commissions (including such disposition fee) paid to all persons by the Company for each real estate asset, upon disposition thereof, shall not exceed an amount equal to the lesser of (i) 6% of the aggregate contract price of each real estate asset or (ii) the competitive real estate commission for each real estate asset. The Company will pay the disposition fees for a property at the time the property is sold.
- *Additional Fees:* The Agreement includes certain other fees that will be payable to the Advisor upon the occurrence of certain potential events such as listing on a national securities exchange or termination of the Agreement.

## 8 COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES

### *FINRA Matter*

During 2009, our Advisor advised us that FINRA examined Shopoff Securities, Inc.'s ("Shopoff Securities") records as part of its routine sales practice and financial/operational examination for the purpose of meeting applicable regulatory mandates and providing Shopoff Securities with an assessment as to its compliance with applicable securities rules and regulations. Shopoff Securities is the Company's broker-dealer for its ongoing public offering. On December 29, 2009, FINRA issued an examination report, which included certain cautionary items that did not need to be included in the Central Registration Depository (the securities industry online registration and licensing database) nor did they need to be reported on Shopoff Securities' Form BD or Form U4 (each, a Uniform Application for Broker-Dealer Registration used by broker-dealers to register and update their information with the SEC and FINRA, respectively). Additionally, the examination report included a referral of issues related to our offering to a separate examination. This separate examination is ongoing and as of August 13, 2010, neither the Company nor Shopoff Securities has received communication from FINRA regarding this separate examination. The Company does not know when the examination will be resolved or what, if any, actions FINRA may require the Company to take as part of that resolution. This examination could result in fines, penalties or administrative remedies or no actions asserted against the Company. Because the Company cannot at this time assess the outcome of such examination by FINRA, if any, we have not accrued any loss contingencies in accordance with GAAP.

***Winchester Ranch (Pulte Home Project)***

On December 31, 2008, SPT-SWRC, LLC, closed on the acquisition of certain parcels of land (the “Pulte Home Project”) pursuant to a Purchase Agreement, dated December 23, 2008, with Pulte Home Corporation, a Michigan corporation (“Pulte Home”), an entity unaffiliated with the Company and its affiliates. The purchase price of the Pulte Home Project was \$2,000,000. On February 27, 2009, SPT-SWRC, LLC entered into a purchase and sale agreement to sell the Pulte Home Project to Khalda Development, Inc. (“Khalda”), an entity unaffiliated with the Company and its affiliates. The contract sales price was \$5,000,000 and the transaction closed escrow on March 20, 2009. The Company recognized a gain on sale of the Pulte Home Project of approximately \$2,045,000.

The Pulte Home Project is the subject of a dispute regarding obligations retained by both Pulte Home, when it sold the Winchester Ranch project to SPT SWRC, LLC, on December 31, 2008, and by SPT SWRC, LLC when it resold the Winchester Ranch project to Khalda on March 20, 2009, to complete certain improvements, such as grading and infrastructure (the “Improvements”). Both sales were made subject to the following agreements which, by their terms, required the Improvements to be made: (i) a Reconveyance Agreement, dated November 15, 2007, by and among Pulte Home and the prior owners of the Winchester Ranch project — Barratt American Incorporated, Meadow Vista Holdings, LLC (“Meadow Vista”) and Newport Road 103, LLC (“Newport”) (the “Reconveyance Agreement”), and (ii) a letter agreement, dated December 30, 2008, executed by SPT SWRC, LLC, Meadow Vista, and Newport, and acknowledged by Pulte Home (the “Subsequent Letter Agreement”). Meadow Vista and Newport, as joint claimants (the “Claimants”) against Pulte Home and SPT SWRC, LLC, have initiated binding arbitration in an effort to (1) require Pulte Home to reaffirm its obligations under the Reconveyance Agreement and the Subsequent Letter Agreement to make the Improvements in light of the subsequent transfer of ownership of the Winchester Ranch project to Khalda (2) require that certain remedial measures be taken to restore the site to a more marketable condition, and (3) for damages. Neither SPT SWRC, LLC nor Pulte Home has taken the position that their respective transfers of the project have released them from the obligation to make the Improvements, and the current owner, Khalda, has not failed to, or refused to, conduct the Improvements required in the Reconveyance Agreement. The arbitration process is on going and, although we believe the request for declaratory relief by the Claimants has no legal basis, and is premature since no actual dispute yet exists, we cannot predict the outcome of the arbitration proceedings at this time.

### ***Economic Dependency***

The Company is dependent on the Advisor and the broker-dealer for certain services that are essential to the Company, including the sale of the Company's shares of common stock available for issue; the identification, evaluation, negotiation, purchase, and disposition of properties and other investments; management of the daily operations of the Company's real estate portfolio; and other general and administrative responsibilities. In the event that these companies are unable to provide the respective services, the Company will be required to obtain such services from other sources.

### ***Environmental***

As an owner of real estate, the Company is subject to various environmental laws of federal, state and local governments. Compliance with existing environmental laws is not expected to have a material adverse effect on the Company's financial condition and results of operations as of June 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009.

### ***Legal Matters***

From time to time, the Company may be party to legal proceedings that arise in the ordinary course of its business. Management is not aware of any legal proceedings of which the outcome is reasonably likely to have a material adverse effect on its results of operations or financial condition. Although the Company is not subject to any legal proceedings, its subsidiary, SPT-SWRC, LLC is the subject of a Notice of Default filing and binding arbitration proceeding as described above under "Winchester Ranch (Pulte Home Project)". The Company believes the Notice of Default and binding arbitration proceeding will have no material adverse effect on its results of operations or financial condition.

### ***Organizational and Offering Costs***

The Company's Advisor and affiliated entities have incurred offering costs and certain expenses on the Company's behalf. Pursuant to a written agreement, such entities accepted responsibility for such costs and expenses until the Company's Registration Statement was declared effective by the SEC and the minimum offering amount was raised. The Company's obligation for such costs and expenses will not exceed 12.34% of the total proceeds raised in the Offering, as more fully disclosed in the Company's Registration Statement. During 2008, 2009 and the six months ended June 30, 2010, the Company reimbursed its Advisor and affiliated entities approximately \$2,631,000. As of June 30, 2010, the Advisor and affiliated entities have incurred approximately \$3,019,000 in excess of the 12.34% limitation on organizational and offering costs. The Company is not obligated to reimburse the Advisor or other affiliated entities any amount above 12.34% of gross offering proceeds.

### ***Specific Performance***

When SPT-SWRC, LLC purchased the Pulte Home Project on December 31, 2008, SPT-SWRC, LLC agreed as a condition of ownership to assume responsibility of a specific performance requirement as detailed in the Reconveyance Agreement, an assignment of which was an exhibit in the original Purchase Agreement. The requirement obligates SPT-SWRC, LLC to complete specific development requirements on adjacent parcels of land not owned by SPT-SWRC, LLC. Currently the primary obligor of this specific development requirement is Khalda, through its purchase of said property from SPT-SWRC, LLC on March 20, 2009 and subsequent assumption of the Reconveyance Agreement. If Khalda Development Inc. fails to perform its obligations under the assumed Reconveyance Agreement, then the obligee could look to SPT-SWRC, LLC as a remedy.

The monetary exposure under these obligations, if any, to SPT-SWRC, LLC cannot be determined at this time.

## 9. REGISTRATION STATEMENT

The Company filed Post Effective Amendment No. 6 to our registration statement on Form S-11 for the Company's ongoing initial public offering with the SEC on April 29, 2010. On May 3, 2010, the SEC declared our Post Effective Amendment No. 6 to our registration statement on Form S-11 for our on-going initial public offering effective. Our offering period ends August 29, 2010.

On June 2, 2010, the Company filed Supplement No. 1 dated June 1, 2010 to the Prospectus dated April 29, 2010.

## 10. SUBSEQUENT EVENTS

### *Mesquite Loan*

On July 15, 2010, management received correspondence from Mesquite Venture I, LLC regarding the maturity of its \$600,000 promissory note with SPT Real Estate Finance. In its correspondence, Mesquite Venture I, LLC indicated that it did not have sufficient liquidity to pay off its promissory note and requested a two year extension on the maturity of the promissory note. Management began discussions with Mesquite Venture I, LLC regarding the matured promissory note and request for extension. Management and Mesquite Venture I, LLC subsequently agreed to document and execute a reinstatement and modification agreement whereby management would (i) grant an extension to Mesquite Venture I, LLC resulting in a new maturity date of January 15, 2011, (ii) management would agree to waive extension fees relating to the modification, (iii) the interest rate during the extension period would be 14%, (iv) all accrued and unpaid interest through the date of the reinstatement and modification would be added to the outstanding principal balance of the promissory note, (v) during the extension period, Mesquite Venture I, LLC would agree to make monthly payments of \$5,000 towards accrued and unpaid interest, and (vi) Mesquite Venture I, LLC agreed to provide additional collateral, specifically fifty percent (50%) of one guarantors ownership position in Silvertip Resort Village, LLC, a limited liability company whose primary purpose is real estate investment which currently owns a hotel site in northern California. Management is in the process of documenting this reinstatement and modification and believes that all unpaid principal and all accrued and unpaid interest will be paid by Mesquite Venture I, LLC on or before January 15, 2011.

### *Desert Moon Estates Project*

On July 13, 2010 the Company received a Notice of Potential Sale of Real Estate for Delinquent Special Assessment (or "Notice") from the Watson Road Community Facilities District. This Notice was received when the Company failed to pay a required special assessment when due on June 1, 2010. The Desert Moon Estates Project is obligated to pay a bi-annual special assessment to the City of Buckeye, Arizona and management has been in communication with the City of Buckeye, Arizona regarding this past due amount which approximates \$266,607. The Notice requires full payment by August 18, 2010. If the Company is unable to make the full payment by August 18, 2010, the City of Buckeye has additional remedies for payment available to them including the ability to start foreclosure proceedings against the property. Management believes that it will be able to pay this past due special assessment before a foreclosure sale was to occur.

### *Desert Moon Estates Note Payable*

On July 19, 2010, AZPro Developments, Inc. negotiated an extension of a \$500,000 principal pay down it was obligated to make by July 31, 2010. This pay down, which is a requirement of a previously negotiated one year extension of the maturity date, was granted to SPT AZ Land Holdings, LLC by AZPro Development Inc. The pay down has been extended by one month to August 31, 2010 from July 31, 2010.

### *Other*

Subsequent to June 30, 2010 and through August 13, 2010, we sold and accepted \$125,400 of gross offering proceeds to purchase 13,200 shares of our common stock.

Subsequent to June 30, 2010, additional organization and offering costs totaling approximately \$9,500 were incurred by the Advisor and its affiliates on behalf of the Company.

## **Item 2. Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations.**

### **Overview**

*You should read the following discussion and analysis together with our condensed consolidated financial statements and notes thereto included in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q. The following information contains forward-looking statements, which are subject to risks and uncertainties. Should one or more of these risks or uncertainties materialize, actual results may differ materially from those expressed or implied by the forward-looking statements. Please see “Special Note Regarding Forward-Looking Statements” below for a description of these risks and uncertainties.*

### **SPECIAL NOTE REGARDING FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS**

Certain statements included in this quarterly report on Form 10-Q are forward-looking statements within the meaning of the federal securities laws which are intended to be covered by the safe harbors created by those laws. Historical results and trends should not be taken as indicative of future operations. Forward-looking statements, which are based on certain assumptions and describe future plans, strategies and expectations of us, are generally identifiable by use of the words “believe,” “expect,” “intend,” “anticipate,” “estimate,” “project,” “prospects,” or similar expressions. Our ability to predict results or the actual effect of future plans or strategies is inherently uncertain. Factors which could have a material adverse effect on our operations and future prospects on a consolidated basis include, but are not limited to: changes in economic conditions generally and the real estate market specifically; legislative/regulatory changes, including changes to laws governing the taxation of REITs; availability of capital; interest rates; our ability to service our debt; competition; supply and demand for undeveloped land and other real estate in our proposed market areas; the prospect of a continuing relationship with Shopoff Advisors; changes in accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America; and policies and guidelines applicable to REITs. These risks and uncertainties should be considered in evaluating forward-looking statements and undue reliance should not be placed on such statements. Although we believe the assumptions underlying the forward-looking statements, and the forward-looking statements themselves, are reasonable, any of the assumptions could be inaccurate and, therefore, there can be no assurance that these forward-looking statements will prove to be accurate. In light of the significant uncertainties inherent in these forward-looking statements, such information should not be regarded as a representation by us or any other person that any of our objectives and plans, which we consider to be reasonable, will be achieved.

## Company Overview

We are a Maryland corporation that intends to qualify as a real estate investment trust, or REIT, beginning with the taxable year ended December 31, 2011. On November 30, 2006, we filed a registration statement on Form S-11 (File No. 333-139042) with the SEC to offer a minimum of 1,700,000 shares and a maximum of 20,100,000 shares of common stock for sale to the public. The SEC declared the registration statement effective on August 29, 2007, and we then launched our on-going initial public offering. We sold the minimum offering of 1,700,000 shares on August 29, 2008, at \$9.50 per share. As of June 30, 2010, we had sold 1,887,700 shares of common stock for \$17,933,150, excluding shares purchased by the Sponsor. Once 2,000,000 shares are sold, the offering price will increase to \$10.00 per share until an additional 18,100,000 shares of common stock are sold or the offering terminates on August 29, 2010.

We filed a Post-Effective Amendment No. 1 to our registration statement on April 30, 2008. The SEC declared our Post-Effective Amendment No. 1 to our registration statement on Form S-11 for our on-going initial public offering effective on May 13, 2008.

We filed a Post-Effective Amendment No. 2 to our registration statement on January 21, 2009. The SEC declared our Post-Effective Amendment No. 2 to our registration statement on Form S-11 for our on-going initial public offering effective on February 9, 2009.

We filed a Post-Effective Amendment No. 3 to our registration statement on May 1, 2009 and amended it as Post-Effective Amendment No. 4 on May 21, 2009.

The SEC declared our Post-Effective Amendment No. 4 to our registration statement on Form S-11 for our on-going initial public offering effective on May 27, 2009.

We filed a Post-Effective Amendment No. 5 to our registration statement on August 17, 2009. The SEC declared our Post-Effective Amendment No. 5 to our registration statement on Form S-11 for our on-going initial public offering effective on August 24, 2009.

We filed a Post-Effective Amendment No. 6 to our registration statement on April 29, 2010. The SEC declared our Post-Effective Amendment No. 6 to our registration statement on Form S-11 for our on-going initial public offering effective on May 3, 2010.

We have used the proceeds of our on-going initial public offering to acquire undeveloped real estate assets that present “value-added” opportunities or other opportunistic investments for our stockholders, to obtain entitlements on such opportunities if applicable, and to hold such assets as long-term investments for eventual sale. “Entitlements” is an all inclusive term used to describe the various components of our value added business plan. We will undertake various functions to enhance the value of our land holdings, including land planning and design, engineering and processing of tentative tract maps and obtaining required environmental approvals. All of these initial entitlements are discretionary actions as approved by the local governing jurisdictions. The subsequent entitlement process involves obtaining federal, state, or local biological and natural resource permits if applicable. Federal and state agencies may include the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, state wildlife, or others as required. By obtaining these approvals or entitlements, we can remove impediments for development for future owners and developers of the projects. It is through this systematic process that we believe that we can realize profits for our investors by enhancing asset values of our real estate holdings. The majority of the property acquired will be located primarily in the States of California, Nevada, Arizona, Hawaii and Texas. If market conditions dictate and if approved by our board of directors, we may invest in properties located outside of these states. On a limited basis, we may acquire interests in income producing properties and ownership interests in firms engaged in real estate activities or whose assets consist of significant real estate holdings, provided these investments meet our overall investment objectives. We plan to own substantially all of our assets and conduct our operations through our Operating Partnership, or wholly owned subsidiaries of the Operating Partnership. Our wholly owned subsidiary, Shopoff General Partner, LLC, is the sole general partner of the Operating Partnership. We have no paid employees. The Advisor conducts our operations and manages our portfolio of real estate investments.

The recent focus of our acquisitions has been on distressed or opportunistic property offerings. At our inception, our focus was on adding value to property through the entitlement process, but the current real estate market has generated a supply of real estate projects that are all partially or completely developed versus vacant, undeveloped land. This changes the focus of our acquisitions to enhancing the value of real property through redesign and engineering refinements and removes much of the entitlement risk that we expected to undertake. Although acquiring distressed assets at greatly reduced prices from the peaks of 2005 – 2006 does not guaranty us success, we believe that it does allow us the opportunity to acquire more assets than previously contemplated.

We believe there will be continued distress in the real estate market in the near term, although we feel that prices have found support in some of our target markets. We have seen pricing increase substantially from the lows of the past year or two, but opportunities continue to be available as properties make their way through the financial system and ultimately come to market. We are also seeing more opportunities to work with landowners under options or joint ventures and obtain entitlements in order to create long term shareholder value. Our view of the mid to long term is more positive, and we expect property values to improve over the four- to ten-year time horizon. Our plan has been to be in a position to capitalize on these opportunities for capital appreciation.

Through June 30, 2010, we had purchased eight properties, two of which were subsequently sold, one on March 20, 2009 and one on February 3, 2010, and had originated three secured real estate loans, two of which were subsequently converted to real estate owned on September 4, 2009 as a result of settlement negotiations between the obligor and us. We had no properties in escrow as of June 30, 2010. We have placed no additional properties in escrow since June 30, 2010.

A portion of the proceeds of our on-going offering will be reserved to meet working capital needs and contingencies associated with our operations. We believe this reserve allocation will aid our objective of preserving capital for our investors by supporting the maintenance and viability of properties we acquire in the future. We will initially allocate to our working capital reserve not less than 0.5% and not more than 5% of the gross proceeds of the offering (assuming we raise the maximum offering). As long as we own any undeveloped real estate assets, we will retain as working capital reserves an amount equal to at least 0.5% and not more than 5% of the gross proceeds of the offering, subject to review and re-evaluation by the board of directors. If reserves and any available income become insufficient to cover our operating expenses and liabilities, it may be necessary to obtain additional funds by borrowing, refinancing properties and/or liquidating our investment in one or more properties. There is no assurance that such funds will be available or, if available, that the terms will be acceptable to us.

We intend to make an election to be taxed as a REIT under Section 856(c) of the Internal Revenue Code for our tax year ending December 31, 2011. In order to qualify as a REIT, we must distribute to our stockholders each calendar year at least 90% of our taxable income, excluding net capital gains. If we qualify as a REIT for federal income tax purposes, we generally will not be subject to federal income tax on income that we distribute to our stockholders. If we fail to qualify as a REIT in any taxable year, we will be subject to federal income tax on our taxable income at regular corporate rates and will not be permitted to qualify as a REIT for four years following the year in which our qualification is denied. Such an event could materially and adversely affect our net income (if any) and results of operations.

## **Results of Operations**

Although we have made several acquisitions without the use of capital from outside investment firms, we contemplate using capital from these outside investment firms in the coming years to grow the Company's investment base. As such our results of operations as of the date of this report are not indicative of those expected in future periods.

Through June 30, 2010, we have acquired eight properties and sold two properties. The first property was purchased on December 31, 2008 for an amount of \$2,000,000 and we incurred closing and related costs of approximately \$614,000 including \$476,774 in reconveyance costs. This property was subsequently sold on March 20, 2009 for \$5,000,000 and the Company has recognized a gain on the sale of approximately \$2,045,000. The second property was purchased on April 17, 2009 for an amount of \$650,000 and we incurred closing and related costs of approximately \$45,000. This property was subsequently sold on February 3, 2010 for \$2,231,775 and the Company recognized a gain on the sale of approximately \$744,000. The third property was purchased on May 19, 2009, for an amount of \$1,650,000 and we incurred closing and related costs of approximately \$60,000. The fourth property was purchased on July 31, 2009, for an amount of \$3,000,000 which included a seller note carry back of \$2,000,000 and we incurred closing and related costs of approximately \$1,482. The fifth and sixth properties were acquired on September 4, 2009 via a Settlement Agreement with Springbrook Investments, L.P., a California limited partnership ("Springbrook"), in which Springbrook agreed to execute and deliver a grant deed to the underlying real estate collateral in consideration for the discharge by us of all of Springbrook's obligations under two secured promissory notes owned by the Company. The tax basis of the fifth property when acquired was \$2,152,210 and tax basis of the sixth property when acquired was \$472,436. The seventh and eighth properties were purchased on November 5, 2009 for an aggregate amount of \$9,600,000, which included a seller note carry back of \$2,900,000, and we incurred closing and related costs of approximately \$320,000. As of June 30, 2010, we have made principal pay downs totaling \$991,584 on the \$2,900,000 seller note carry back.

Through June 30, 2010, we have originated three secured real estate loans receivable: one in an amount of \$600,000 to one borrower and two separate loans to a second borrower in the aggregate amount of \$2,300,000. The two separate loans to a second borrower in the aggregate amount of \$2,300,000 were converted to real estate owned on September 4, 2009 when we took title to the underlying real estate serving as collateral for the two loans. The \$600,000 secured real estate loan receivable incurred no closing costs as all title, escrow and attorney fees were paid for by the borrower through escrow. As of June 30, 2010, from the \$600,000 loan we have earned \$150,781 in interest income, \$77,781 of which is accrued interest receivable, \$73,000 of which has been paid to us by the borrower, paid an acquisition fee of \$18,000, or 3% of the contract price to the Advisor, which was paid to SPT Real Estate Finance, LLC upon the closing of escrow on September 30, 2008, and paid the Advisor asset management fees of \$21,000. Prior to the conversion of the two separate loans aggregating \$2,300,000 to real estate owned, we had accrued \$324,647 in interest income, paid an acquisition fee of \$69,000, or 3% of the contract price to the Advisor, which was paid upon the closing of escrow on January 9, 2009, and paid the Advisor asset management fees of \$31,207. As of June 30, 2010, since the conversion of the two separate loans aggregating \$2,300,000 to real estate owned, we paid the Advisor asset management fees of \$41,637.

Revenues for the three months ended June 30, 2010 approximated \$24,728. These revenues consisted primarily of interest income on the note receivable.

Expenses for the three months ended June 30, 2010 approximated \$567,171. These expenses consisted primarily of cost of sales of real estate relating to the sale of real estate that occurred on February 3, 2010, professional fees, stock based compensation, insurance, dues and subscriptions, director compensation, general and administrative expenses, and due diligence costs related to properties not acquired partially offset by a credit to income tax expense.

For the three months ended June 30, 2010, we had a net loss of approximately \$542,443 due primarily to interest income on notes receivable offset by cost of sales of real estate relating to the sale of real estate that occurred on February 3, 2010, stock based compensation, due diligence costs related to properties not acquired, a credit to income tax expense, and operating expenses, consisting primarily of professional fees, insurance, director compensation, dues and subscriptions, and general and administrative expenses.

#### **Comparison of Three Months Ended June 30, 2010 to Three Months Ended June 30, 2009**

*Total revenues.* Total revenues decreased by \$168,606, or 87.2% to \$24,728 for the three months ended June 30, 2010 compared to \$193,334 for the three months ended June 30, 2009. The significant components of revenue are discussed below.

*Interest income, notes receivable.* This caption represents revenues earned from the origination of secured real estate loans. Interest income, notes receivable decreased \$156,831 or 86.3% to \$24,728 for the three months ended June 30, 2010 compared to \$181,559 for the three months ended June 30, 2009. The decrease was related to the Company having only one note receivable outstanding for the three months ended June 30, 2010 compared to three notes for the three months ended June 30, 2009 and therefore earning less interest on the outstanding notes receivable. Two real estate loans receivable, made by the Company in January 2009, in the aggregate amount of \$2,300,000, were subsequently turned into real estate owned on September 4, 2009, when grant deeds to the underlying real estate collateral were executed in favor of SPT Real Estate Finance, LLC in exchange for a release of all of the borrowers obligations under the notes.

*Interest income and other.* This caption represents revenues earned from the interest earned on cash held in escrow accounts, operating accounts, savings accounts, certificates of deposits, or other similar investments. Interest income and other decreased \$11,775, or 100.0% to \$0 for the three months ended June 30, 2010 compared to \$11,775 for the three months ended June 30, 2009. The decrease was primarily related to the reduction in the amount of cash available for temporary investments due to the use of Company cash to make real estate and real estate-related investments and for the payment of Company operating expenses.

*Total expenses.* Total expenses increased by \$358,372, or 171.6% to \$567,171 (including a provision for income taxes credit of \$14,637), for the three months ended June 30, 2010 compared to \$208,799 for the three months ended June 30, 2009. The significant components of expense are discussed below.

*Cost of sales of real estate.* Cost of sale of real estate represents direct costs attributable to the investment in the goods sold by the Company, in our case un-developed and under developed real estate assets. We incurred \$131,283 in cost of sale of real estate for the three months ended June 30, 2010 compared to \$(70,733) for the three months ended June 30, 2009. For both the three months ended June 30, 2010 and 2009, the Company sold a real estate investment. The \$131,283 in cost of sale of real estate for the three months ended June 30, 2010 related to ongoing Company obligations related to the investment which was sold on February 3, 2010. The \$(70,733) in cost of sales of real estate for the three months ended June 30, 2009 was the result of a property tax refund received from the County of Riverside relating to the sale in March 2009 of the Pulte Home land. These two real estate investments were not comparable other than both real estate investments were located in Riverside County, California and were residential in composition. The real estate investments sold were purchased at different times, (April 2009 for the real estate investment sold in the six months ended June 30, 2010 and December 2008 for the real estate investment sold in the six months ended June 30, 2009), were of different sizes and in different stages of entitlement (65 finished residential lots and 6 lettered lots were sold in the six months ended June 30, 2010 compared to 469 graded residential lots sold in the six months ended June 30, 2009), were in different geographical locations (the City of Lake Elsinore, Riverside County, California for the real estate investment sold in the six months ended June 30, 2010 compared to an unincorporated area of Riverside County, California, for the real estate investment sold in the six months ended June 30, 2009), and incurred differing levels of asset management during ownership (the replacement of bonds and related expenses incurred in replacing the bonds for the project sold in the six months ended June 30, 2010 compared to reconveyance work and related expenses incurred for the project sold in the six months ended June 30, 2009). Due to these real estate investments differences in original purchase timing, size, entitlement status, location, and asset management, significant variations in basis between the two real estate investment sales is not unusual.

*Stock based compensation.* This caption represents restricted stock grants, stock options, and other share based compensation authorized by the Company's board of directors. We incurred \$112,482 in stock based compensation for the three months ended June 30, 2010 compared to \$0 for the three months ended June 30, 2009. The Company had not issued any stock options nor incurred any other share based compensation expense as of June 30, 2009.

*Professional fees.* Professional fees decreased by \$40,716, or 42.0% to \$56,277 for the three months ended June 30, 2010 compared to \$96,993 for the three months ended June 30, 2009. The decrease was primarily due to (i) lower legal fees related to Company operations and required filings due to a lower level of attorney reviews and consultations in 2010 as compared to 2009, and (ii) lower accounting fees for the Company's 10Q filing in 2010 as compared to 2009.

*Insurance.* Insurance decreased by \$5,368, or 9.6% to \$50,434 for the three months ended June 30, 2010 compared to \$55,802 for the three months ended June 30, 2009. The decrease was primarily due to (i) a reduction by the Company of its primary D&O coverage for the 2009-2010 policy period compared to the 2008-2009 policy period resulting in an overall decrease in the primary D&O premium, offset by (ii) an increase in the excess D&O coverage premium for the 2009-2010 policy period compared to the 2008-2009 policy period.

*General and administrative.* General and administrative costs increased by \$56,653, or 72.7% to \$134,565 for the three months ended June 30, 2010 as compared to \$77,912 for the three months ended June 30, 2009. The increase was primarily due to (i) higher depreciation expense incurred on a Company purchased enterprise resource planning system for 2010 which had not been fully implemented in 2009 and (ii) a higher level of asset management fees paid on real estate investments under management by Shopoff Advisor in 2010 as compared to 2009, offset by savings from (iii) a lower number of overall SEC filings during 2010 as compared to 2009 resulting in lower printing expenses, (iv) the Company changed printing companies in 2010 resulting in lower printing expenses as compared to the same services in 2009.

*Dues and subscriptions.* Dues and subscriptions represent fees paid by the Company for membership in and benefits from various real estate and real estate-related organizations. Dues and subscriptions costs increased by \$18,766 or 172.4% to \$29,652 for the three months ended June 30, 2010 as compared to \$10,886 for the three months ended June 30, 2009. The increase was primarily due to the Company reclassing a portion of certain memberships previously expensed in 2009 to prepaid dues and subscriptions in 2009 as these certain memberships had a longer-term benefit to the Company.

*Director compensation.* Director compensation increased by \$12,936, or 34.1% to \$50,875 for the three months ended June 30, 2010 compared to \$37,939 for the three months ended June 30, 2009. The increase was primarily due to (i) the Company having one more compensated board member in 2010 as compared to 2009 as in February 2009, Diane Kennedy resigned as one of our independent board members and was not replaced until September 2009, (ii) the Company holding more board and committee meetings in 2010 as compared to 2009 due to more extensive Company activities as compared to 2009, and (iii) the Company having a higher number of board members attending the annual shareholders meeting and subsequent board meeting in 2010 as compared to 2009 resulting in a higher cost to the Company.

*Due diligence costs related to properties not acquired.* Due diligence costs related to properties not acquired increased \$16,240 or 100.0% to \$16,240 for the three months ended June 30, 2010 compared to \$0 for the three months ended June 30, 2009. The increase was primarily related to a higher number of potential real estate investments reviewed by the Company in 2010 as compared to 2009.

*Provision for income taxes.* This caption represents the amount on the consolidated statement of operations that estimates the Company's total income tax liability for the year. We incurred \$(14,637) in provision for income taxes for the three months ended June 30, 2010 compared to \$0 for the three months ended June 30, 2009. The Company's provision for income taxes which was originally projected in December 2009 for the calendar year ended December 31, 2009 was revised downwards resulting in a credit back to the Company due to a lower overall projected income tax exposure for the calendar year ended December 31, 2009. Additionally, the Company anticipates an overall net loss for the year ended December 31, 2010 and therefore did not recognize any additional provision for income taxes for the three months ended June 30, 2010.

**Comparison of Six Months Ended June 30, 2010 to Six Months Ended June 30, 2009**

*Total revenues.* Total revenues decreased by \$3,094,928, or 57.6% to \$2,277,225 for the six months ended June 30, 2010 compared to \$5,372,153 for the six months ended June 30, 2009. The significant components of revenue are discussed below.

*Sale of real estate.* This caption represents revenues earned from the disposition of real estate and real estate-related investments. We recorded sales of \$2,231,775 for the six months ended June 30, 2010 compared to \$5,000,000 for the six months ended June 30, 2009. For both the six months ended June 30, 2010 and 2009 the Company sold a real estate investment. These two real estate investments were not comparable other than in that both real estate investments were located in Riverside County, California and were residential in nature. The real estate investments sold were of different sizes and in different stages of entitlement (65 finished residential lots and 6 lettered lots were sold in the six months ended June 30, 2010 compared to 469 graded residential lots sold in the six months ended June 30, 2009) and were in different geographical locations (the City of Lake Elsinore, Riverside County, California for the real estate investment sold in the six months ended June 30, 2010 compared to an unincorporated area of Riverside County, California, for the real estate investment sold in the six months ended June 30, 2009). Due to these real estate investments differences in size, entitlement status, and location, significant variations in pricing between the two real estate investment sales is not unusual. For a discussion of the expenses related to the sale of real estate, refer to “Cost of sales of real estate” below.

*Interest income, notes receivable.* This caption represents revenues earned from the origination of secured real estate loans. Interest income, notes receivable decreased \$300,024 or 86.8% to \$45,450 for the six months ended June 30, 2010 compared to \$345,474 for the six months ended June 30, 2009. The decrease was related to the Company having only one note receivable outstanding for the six months ended June 30, 2010 compared to three notes for the six months ended June 30, 2009 and therefore earning less interest on the outstanding notes receivable. Two real estate loans receivable, made by the Company in January 2009, in the aggregate amount of \$2,300,000, subsequently became real estate owned on September 4, 2009, when grant deeds to the underlying real estate collateral were executed in favor of SPT Real Estate Finance, LLC in exchange for a release of all of the borrowers obligations under the notes.

*Interest income and other.* This caption represents revenues earned from the interest earned on cash held in escrow accounts, operating accounts, savings accounts, certificates of deposits, or other similar investments. Interest income and other decreased \$26,679, or 100.0% to \$0 for the six months ended June 30, 2010 compared to \$26,679 for the six months ended June 30, 2009. The decrease was primarily related to the reduction in the amount of cash available for temporary investments due to the use of Company cash to make real estate and real estate-related investments and for the payment of Company operating expenses.

*Total expenses.* Total expenses decreased by \$1,348,550, or 35.6% to \$2,442,826 (including a provision for income taxes credit of \$(14,637), for the six months ended June 30, 2010 compared to \$3,791,376 (including a provision for income taxes of \$116,481), for the six months ended June 30, 2009. The significant components of expense are discussed below.

*Cost of sales of real estate.* Cost of sale of real estate represents direct costs attributable to the investment in the goods sold by the Company, in our case un-developed and under developed real estate assets. We incurred \$1,487,956 in cost of sale of real estate for the six months ended June 30, 2010 compared to \$2,907,008 for the six months ended June 30, 2009. For both the six months ended June 30, 2010 and 2009, the Company sold a real estate investment. These two real estate investments were not comparable other than in that both real estate investments were located in Riverside County, California and were residential in composition. The real estate investments sold were purchased at different times, (April 2009 for the real estate investment sold in the six months ended June 30, 2010 and December 2008 for the real estate investment sold in the six months ended June 30, 2009), were of different sizes and in different stages of entitlement (65 finished residential lots and 6 lettered lots were sold in the six months ended June 30, 2010 compared to 469 graded residential lots sold in the six months ended June 30, 2009), were in different geographical locations (the City of Lake Elsinore, Riverside County, California for the real estate investment sold in the six months ended June 30, 2010 compared to an unincorporated area of Riverside County, California, for the real estate investment sold in the six months ended June 30, 2009), and incurred differing levels of asset management during ownership (the replacement of bonds and related expenses incurred in replacing the bonds for the project sold in the six months ended June 30, 2010 compared to reconveyance work and related expenses incurred for the project sold in the six months ended June 30, 2009). Due to these real estate investments differences in original purchase timing, size, entitlement status, location, and asset management, significant variations in basis between the two real estate investment sales is not unusual.

*Stock based compensation.* This caption represents restricted stock grants, stock options, and other share-based compensation authorized by the Company's board of directors. We incurred \$224,964 in stock-based compensation for the six months ended June 30, 2010 compared to \$0 for the six months ended June 30, 2009. The Company had not issued any stock options nor incurred any other share-based compensation expense as of June 30, 2009.

*Professional fees.* Professional fees decreased by \$72,096, or 27.7% to \$188,263 for the six months ended June 30, 2010 compared to \$260,359 for the six months ended June 30, 2009. The decrease was primarily due to (i) the Company not originating any new real estate-related investments in 2010 compared to 2009 when the Company incurred legal expenses related to two newly originated secured notes receivable, (ii) a reimbursement by the borrower in 2010 of legal expenses related to the default of an existing note receivable originated on September 30, 2008, (iii) lower legal fees related to Company operations and required filings due to a lower level of attorney reviews and consultations in 2010 as compared to 2009, offset by, (iv) higher overall accounting and tax fees due to more extensive Company operations in 2010 as compared to 2009.

*Insurance.* Insurance decreased by \$10,736, or 9.6% to \$100,868 for the six months ended June 30, 2010 compared to \$111,604 for the six months ended June 30, 2009. The decrease was primarily due to (i) a reduction by the Company of its primary D&O coverage for the 2009-2010 policy period compared to the 2008-2009 policy period resulting in an overall decrease in the primary D&O premium, offset by (ii) an increase in the excess D&O coverage premium for the 2009-2010 policy period compared to the 2008-2009 policy period.

*General and administrative.* General and administrative costs increased by \$129,058, or 97.4% to \$261,609 for the six months ended June 30, 2010 as compared to \$132,551 for the six months ended June 30, 2009. The increase was primarily due to (i) higher depreciation expense incurred on a Company purchased enterprise resource planning system for 2010 which had not been fully implemented in 2009 and (ii) a higher level of asset management fees paid on real estate investments under management by our advisor in 2010 as compared to 2009, offset by savings from (iii) a lower number of overall SEC filings during 2010 as compared to 2009 resulting in lower printing expenses, and (iv) a change in printing companies in 2010 resulting in lower printing expenses as compared to the same services in 2009.

*Dues and subscriptions.* Dues and subscriptions represent fees paid by the Company for membership in and benefits from various real estate and real estate-related organizations. Dues and subscriptions costs decreased by \$12,187 or 17.1% to \$59,274 for the six months ended June 30, 2010 as compared to \$71,461 for the six months ended June 30, 2009. The decrease was primarily due to the Company, not renewing a material membership in the six months ended June 30, 2010 which expired in calendar 2009.

*Director compensation.* Director compensation increased by \$18,932, or 24.7% to \$95,750 for the six months ended June 30, 2010 compared to \$76,818 for the six months ended June 30, 2009. The increase was primarily due to (i) the Company having one more compensated board member in 2010 as compared to 2009 as in February 2009, Diane Kennedy resigned as one of our independent board members and was not replaced until September 2009 and (ii) the Company holding more board and committee meetings in 2010 as compared to 2009 due to more extensive Company activities as compared to 2009, and (iii) the Company having a higher number of board members attending the annual shareholders meeting and subsequent board meeting in 2010 as compared to 2009 resulting in a higher cost to the Company.

*Acquisition fees paid to advisor.* Acquisition fees paid to our advisor decreased by \$69,000, or 100.0% to \$0 for the six months ended June 30, 2010 compared to \$69,000 for the six months ended June 30, 2009. Acquisition fees represent compensation paid to our Advisor for services provided to us during the identification, negotiation, underwriting, and purchase of our real estate-related investments. The Company did not originate or purchase any real estate-related investments in the six months ended June 30, 2010 compared to the origination of two real estate-related investments totaling \$2,300,000 in the six months ended June 30, 2009.

*Due diligence costs related to properties not acquired.* Due diligence costs related to properties not acquired decreased \$7,315 or 15.9% to \$38,779 for the six months ended June 30, 2010 compared to \$46,094 for the six months ended June 30, 2009. The decrease was primarily related to (i) a reduction in the number of potential real estate investments reviewed by the Company, and (ii) the implementation of condensed evaluation procedures for potential real estate investments resulting in a more efficient and economical underwriting process.

*Provision for income taxes.* This caption represents the amount on the consolidated statement of operations that estimates the Company's total income tax liability for the year. We incurred \$(14,637) in provision for income taxes for the six months ended June 30, 2010 compared to \$116,481 for the six months ended June 30, 2009. The credit in provision for income taxes for the six months ended June 30, 2010 was due to the Company's provision for income taxes, originally projected in December 2009 for the calendar year ended December 31, 2009, being revised downwards resulting in a credit back to the Company due to a lower overall projected income tax exposure for the calendar year ended December 31, 2009. The provision for income taxes for the six months ended June 30, 2009 was due to the Company selling its first real estate investment during the six months ended June 30, 2009. Although the Company sold its second real estate investment during the six months ended June 30, 2010, the gain on the sale was not significant enough to offset overall anticipated Company expenses for the year ended December 31, 2010. Due to an anticipated overall net loss for the Company for the year ended December 31, 2010, no additional provision for income taxes was recognized for the six months ended June 30, 2010.

## **Recent Market Developments**

There have been historic disruptions in the financial system during the years 2008 and 2009, the effects of which are continuing today. The recent failure of large U.S. financial institutions and the resulting turmoil in the U.S. and global financial sector has had, and will likely continue to have, a negative impact on the terms and availability of credit and the state of the economy generally within the U.S.

On October 3, 2008, the Troubled Asset Relief Program was signed into law, as part of the Emergency Economic Stabilization Act of 2008 ("EESA"), giving the U.S. Department of the Treasury authority to deploy up to \$700 billion to improve liquidity in the capital markets, including the authority to direct \$250 billion into preferred stock investments in banks. Then, on February 17, 2009, the American Recovery and Reinvestment Act of 2009 ("ARRA") was signed into law as a sweeping economic recovery package intended to stimulate the economy and provide for broad infrastructure, energy, health, and education needs. This legislation was followed by the U.S. President's Homeowner Affordability and Stability Plan, announced on February 18, 2009, to address the crisis in the mortgage market which has had ripple effects in other parts of the credit markets. Most recently, the U.S. President signed into law the Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act which will have an impact on the U.S. banking and financial industries.

It is presently unclear what impact the EESA, ARRA, the Homeowner Affordability and Stability Plan, and the other liquidity and funding initiatives of the Federal Reserve, and other agencies, the Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act, and any additional programs that may be initiated in the future, will have on the financial markets, the U.S. banking and financial industries, and the broader U.S. and global economies. To the extent the market does not respond favorably to the EESA, ARRA, the Homeowner Affordability and Stability Plan, real estate companies, such as ours, may have difficulty securing mortgage debt at reasonable rates or at all. In addition, while the economic downturn may present opportunities for us to acquire assets that are undervalued, this opportunity is hampered by the increased cost of capital and uncertainty as to when the markets will stabilize.

### **Organization and Offering Costs**

The Company's organization and offering costs may be paid by the Company's Advisor, broker-dealer and their affiliates on the Company's behalf. These organization and offering costs include all expenses to be paid by us in connection with the Company's ongoing initial public offering, including but not limited to (i) legal, accounting, printing, mailing, and filing fees; (ii) charges of the escrow holder; (iii) reimbursement of the broker-dealer for amounts it may pay to reimburse the bona fide diligence expenses of other broker-dealers and registered investment advisors; (iv) reimbursement to the advisor for other costs in connection with preparing supplemental sales materials; (v) the cost of educational conferences held by us (including the travel, meal, and lodging costs of registered representatives of broker-dealers); and (vi) reimbursement to the broker-dealer for travel, meals, lodging, and attendance fees incurred by employees of the broker-dealer to attend retail seminars conducted by broker-dealers.

Pursuant to the advisory agreement and the broker-dealer agreement, we are obligated to reimburse the Advisor, the broker-dealer or their affiliates, as applicable, for organization and offering costs paid by them on our behalf, provided that the advisor is obligated to reimburse us to the extent the organization and offering costs incurred by us in the offering exceed 12.34% of our gross offering proceeds. The Advisor and its affiliates have incurred on our behalf organization and offering costs of \$5.23 million through June 30, 2010. Such costs are only a liability to us to the extent the organization and offering costs do not exceed 12.34% of the gross proceeds of the offering. From commencement of our ongoing initial public offering through June 30, 2010, we had sold 1,887,700 shares for gross offering proceeds of \$17.93 million, excluding shares purchased by the Sponsor and excluding vested restricted stock grants issued to certain officers and directors, and recorded organization and offering costs of \$2.63 million.

## Liquidity and Capital Resources

We broke escrow in our on-going initial public offering on August 29, 2008 and commenced real estate operations with the acquisition of our first material real estate investment on December 31, 2008. This first real estate investment was subsequently sold on March 20, 2009 for \$5,000,000. We are offering and selling to the public up to 2,000,000 shares of our common stock, \$.01 par value per share, at \$9.50 per share and 18,100,000 shares of our common stock, \$.01 par value per share, at \$10.00 per share. As of June 30, 2010, we had sold and accepted 1,887,700 shares of our common stock for \$17,933,150 not including shares issued to the Sponsor and excluding vested restricted stock grants issued to certain officers and directors. We had sold and accepted 1,856,000 shares of our common stock for \$17,632,000 as of December 31, 2009.

Our principal demand for funds is and will be for the acquisition of undeveloped real estate properties and other real estate-related investments, the payment of operating and general and administrative expenses, capital expenditures and payments under debt obligations when applicable.

We did not pay any distributions to stockholders for the three or six months ended June 30, 2010.

As a result of the closing of a proposed acquisition that occurred on November 5, 2009 and discussed further in Note 1 of the notes to consolidated financial statements, a substantial portion of our remaining liquidity as of September 30, 2009 was utilized. Management believes that it will be able to raise additional capital for the Company through one or more potential sources including additional common stock sales, re-capitalization via a co-investment joint venture relationship, the sale of an asset currently owned by the Company and or securing appropriate longer-term debt.

As of June 30, 2010, our liabilities totaled \$4,815,081 and consisted of accounts payable and accrued liabilities, due to related parties, interest on notes payable, and notes payable secured by Company assets. Of the \$4,815,081 in total liabilities \$1,406,665 are short term and \$3,408,416 are long term. We do not currently have sufficient liquidity to meet our short term liability obligations as disclosed; however, management believes that (i) there has been a recent shift in the investment attitude from potential shareholders from a capital preservation to a long-term capital appreciation mentality which has resulted in an increase in sales of Company common stock as compared to results for the twelve months ended December 31, 2009 although the Company does not anticipate a significant amount of new sales from June 30, 2010 until the offering ends August 29, 2010, (ii) sales of Company assets as an alternative funding source is viable as on February 3, 2010 we closed on the sale of a Company asset to a third-party for a purchase price 243% higher than the purchase price originally paid for by us, and the Company received a purchase offer on an existing Company asset from a third-party at a purchase price that was 142% higher than the purchase price originally paid for the asset by the Company, (iii) lending sources for land assets have recently become more available although the cost of funds could be prohibitive in nature, however the Company is currently in discussions with multiple non-conventional lenders who may refinance the existing secured promissory note originated by TSG Little Valley, L.P. If a new loan is approved by the aforementioned non-conventional lenders, this refinance would pay off the remainder of the TSG Little Valley, L.P. loan and give the Company additional working capital to fund general operations, and (iv) a recapitalization of us whereby a third-party capital source would take partial ownership of existing Company assets in a joint venture arrangement in exchange for cash is possible as at least one real estate private equity firm has expressed an interest in taking a partial ownership position in the existing Company assets. As a result of (i), (ii), (iii), and (iv) above, we believe we will have sufficient funds for the operation of the Company for the foreseeable future. If (i), (ii), (iii), and (iv) discussed above do not happen, we may need to consider alternative solutions or we may need to cease operations.

### Cash Flows

The following is a comparison of the main components of our statements of cash flows for the six months ended June 30, 2010 to the six months ended June 30, 2009:

#### *Cash From Operating Activities*

As of June 30, 2010, we owned six real estate investments, none of which generates recurring income, and owned one real estate-related investment. We used \$422,900 in operating activities for the six months ended June 30, 2010 compared to \$525,698 that was used in operating activities for the six months ended June 30, 2009. Net cash used in operations decreased in 2010 primarily as a result of (i) the sale of one Company owned real estate investment in 2010 that resulted in a smaller gain as compared to the sale of one Company owned real estate investment in 2009, (ii) an increase in overall Company liabilities in 2010 compared to a decrease in Company liabilities in 2009, and (iii) the recognition of stock based compensation from the issuance of restricted stock and the grant of stock options to certain officers and directors in 2010. No stock based compensation was realized in 2009.

### *Cash From Investing Activities*

Net cash provided by investing activities for the six months ended June 30, 2010 was \$1,250,017 compared to \$1,853,772 that was that was provided by investing activities for the six months ended June 30, 2009. Net cash provided by investing activities decreased primarily as a result of (i) the sale of one Company owned real estate investment in 2010 that resulted in proceeds that were smaller as compared to the proceeds generated from the sale of one Company owned real estate investment in 2009, offset by (ii) the Company investing a smaller amount of liquidity into real estate and real estate-related investments in 2010 as compared to 2009.

### *Cash From Financing Activities*

We used \$866,220 in financing activities for the six months ended June 30, 2010 compared to \$363,965 for the six months ended June 30, 2009. Net cash used in financing activities increased primarily as a result of (i) the repayment of principal on a Company executed secured note payable in 2010 whose funds had not been borrowed in 2009, (ii) obtaining certificate of deposit securing letters of credit for the posting of bonds relating to a Company real estate investment in 2010 that was not owned in 2009, offset by (iii) proceeds of common stock sold to subscribers in 2010; in the six months ended June 30, 2009 there were no proceeds of common stock sold to subscribers, and (iv) the payment of offering costs to our advisor in 2009; there were no payments of offering costs to our advisor in the six months ended June 30, 2010.

Our principal demands for cash will be for property acquisitions and the payment of our operating and administrative expenses, future debt service obligations and distributions to our stockholders. Generally, we will fund our property acquisitions from the net proceeds of our public offering. We intend to acquire properties with cash and mortgage or other debt, but we may acquire properties free and clear of permanent mortgage indebtedness by paying the entire purchase price for properties in cash. Due to the delays between the sales of our shares, our acquisition of properties, and the subsequent disposition of properties, there will be a delay, potentially a number of years, in the benefits to our stockholders, if any, of returns generated from our investments.

As of June 30, 2010, we have raised \$18,133,600 in common stock sales including shares purchased by our Sponsor and have invested a majority of this cash in Company assets, significantly reducing funds for operating and administrative expenses and existing and future debt service obligations. Management is aware that in addition to the global and regional economic crisis affecting real estate in general, our current lack of liquidity can be reasonably anticipated to have a material impact on capital resources necessary for the entitlement of our properties.

Our ability to finance our operations is subject to several uncertainties including those discussed above under “Recent Market Developments” and under “Risk Factors,” and accordingly, we cannot guarantee that we will have adequate cash from this offering or be able to generate adequate cash from other non-offering sources such as (i) sales of Company assets, (ii) securing appropriate longer-term debt, or (iii) funding via joint venture relationships with real estate private equity firms and hedge funds that have an interest in our investment space, in order to fund our operating and administrative expenses, any future debt service obligations and any future payment of distributions to our stockholders. Our ability to ultimately sell our real estate investments is partially dependent upon the condition of real estate markets at the time we are required or prepared to sell and the ability of purchasers to obtain financing at reasonable commercial rates.

Potential future sources of capital include secured and unsecured financings from banks or other lenders, establishing additional lines of credit, proceeds from the sale of properties and undistributed cash flow. Although we have identified a potential non-conventional lending source, discussions are in the preliminary stage only and there is no assurance that an agreement for financing will be reached with this potential lender. We have also not identified any additional sources of financing other than this potential non-conventional lending source and there is no assurance that such sources of financings will be available on favorable terms or at all.

## Distributions

We have not paid any distributions as of June 30, 2010. Our board of directors will determine the amount of distributions, if any, to be distributed to our stockholders. The board's determination will be based on a number of factors, including funds available from operations, our capital expenditure requirements and the annual distribution requirements necessary to maintain our REIT status under the Internal Revenue Code. Because we expect that the majority of the properties we acquire will not generate any operating cash flow, the timing and amount of any dividends paid will be largely dependent upon the sale of acquired properties. Accordingly, it is uncertain as to when, if ever, dividends will be paid. Although a change in the REIT tax code related to safe harbor rules has been adopted reducing the safe harbor from four to two years, we have not changed our business strategy which is market driven. We will consider making a distribution to shareholders upon an asset sale but may choose instead to reinvest the proceeds rather than making a distribution. Our stockholders should have the expectation that no substantial income will be generated from our operations for some time.

## The Advisory Agreement

The Advisor is responsible for overseeing the day to day operations of us and has the authority to carry out all our objectives and purposes. The Advisor has a fiduciary responsibility to us and to our stockholders in carrying out its duties under this Agreement. In providing advice and services hereunder, the Advisor shall not (i) engage in any activity which would require it to be registered as an "Investment Advisor," as that term is defined in the Investment Advisors Act of 1940 or in any state securities law or (ii) cause us to make such investments as would cause us to become an "Investment Company," as that term is defined in the Investment Company Act of 1940.

Our board of directors has the right to revoke the Advisor's authority at any time. We shall pay the Advisor the following fees:

*Acquisition and Advisory Fees:* 3% of, (i) with respect to any real estate asset acquired by us directly or indirectly other than a real estate related investment, the contract purchase price of the underlying property, and (ii) with respect to any real estate related investment acquired by us directly or indirectly, the contract purchase price of the underlying property.

*Debt Financing Fee:* 1% of amount available under any loan or line of credit made available to us.

*Asset Management Fees:* a monthly payment in an amount equal to one-twelfth of 2% of (i) the aggregate asset value for operating assets and (ii) the total contract price plus capitalized entitlement and project related costs for real estate assets held for less than or equal to one year by us, directly or indirectly, as of the last day of the preceding month other than a real estate-related investment and (iii) the appraised value as determined from time to time for real estate assets held for greater than one year by us, directly or indirectly, as of the last day of the preceding month other than a real estate-related investment and (iv) the appraised value of the underlying property, for any real estate-related investment held by us, directly or indirectly, as of the last day of the preceding month, in the case of subsection (iv) not to exceed one-twelfth of 2% of the funds advanced by us for the purchase of the real estate-related investment.

*Disposition Fees:* equal to, (i) in the case of the sale of any real estate asset, other than real estate-related investments, the lesser of: (a) one-half of the competitive real estate commission paid up to 3% of the contract price or, if none is paid, the amount that customarily would be paid, or (b) 3% of the contract purchase price of each real estate asset sold, and (ii) in the case of the sale of any real estate-related investments, 3% of the sales price of such real estate-related investments. A disposition fee payable under this section may be paid in addition to real estate commissions paid to non-affiliates, provided that the total real estate commissions (including such disposition fee) paid to all persons by us for each real estate asset, upon disposition thereof, shall not exceed an amount equal to the lesser of (i) 6% of the aggregate contract price of each real estate asset or (ii) the competitive real estate commission for each real estate asset. We will pay the disposition fees for a property at the time the property is sold.

*Subordinated Participation in Distributable Cash:* 50% of remaining amounts of Distributable Cash after return of capital plus payment to stockholders of a 10% annual, cumulative, non-compounded return on capital. The Subordinated Participation in Distributable Cash shall be payable to the Advisor at the time or times that the Company determines that the Subordinated Participation in Distributable Cash has been earned by the Advisor.

*Subordinated Incentive Fee Due Upon Listing:* 50% of the amount by which the market value of our common stock exceeds the aggregate capital contributed by stockholders plus payment to stockholders of a 10% annual, cumulative, non-compounded return on capital. Upon Listing, the Advisor shall be entitled to the Subordinated Incentive Fee Upon Listing. The Subordinated Incentive Fee Due Upon Listing shall be payable to the Advisor following twelve (12) months after Listing. We shall have the option to pay such fee in the form of cash, common stock, a promissory note with interest accrued as of the date of Listing, or any combination of the foregoing, as determined by the board of directors. In the event the Subordinated Incentive Fee Due Upon Listing is paid to the Advisor following Listing, the Advisor will not be entitled to receive any payments of Subordinated Performance Fee Upon Termination or Subordinated Participation in Distributable Cash following receipt of the Subordinated Incentive Fee Due Upon Listing.

*Subordinated Performance Fee Due Upon Termination:* a performance fee of 50% of the amount by which the greater of the market value of our outstanding common stock or real estate at the time of termination, plus total distributions paid to our stockholders, exceeds the aggregate capital contributed by stockholders plus payment to investors of a 10% annual, cumulative, non-compounded return on capital. Upon termination of this Agreement, the Advisor shall be entitled to the Subordinated Performance Fee Due Upon Termination.

### **Investment Strategy**

Our initial primary business focus was to buy, hold and sell undervalued, undeveloped non-income producing real estate assets and to generate returns to our stockholders upon disposition of such properties (although as described below, our recent focus has been on distressed or opportunistic property offerings). The land acquired may have been zoned for residential, commercial or industrial uses. Our strategy is to invest in properties with the following attributes:

- the potential for an annual internal rate of return in excess of 30% on a compounded basis;
- the potential for a sharp increase in value due to such factors as a recent or potential future zoning change or other opportunity where a property might lie in the path of progress;
- characteristics of the property enable us to ascertain that we could purchase the property at a discount from current market value;
- geographic location in California, Nevada, Arizona, Hawaii, or Texas;
- potential for capital appreciation;
- potential for economic growth in the community in which the property is located;
- prospects for liquidity through sale, financing or refinancing of the property;
- moderate competition from existing properties;
- location in a market in which we have familiarity based upon past experience or we have an advantage based upon our experience in repositioning properties;
- potential for development of the property into income property.

The recent focus of our acquisitions has been on distressed or opportunistic property offerings. At our inception, our focus was on adding value to property through the entitlement process, but the current real estate market has generated a supply of real estate projects that are all partially or completely developed versus vacant, undeveloped land. This changes the focus of our acquisitions to enhancing the value of real property through redesign and engineering refinements and removes much of the entitlement risk that we expected to undertake. Although acquiring distressed assets at greatly reduced prices from the peaks of 2005-2006 does not guaranty us success, we believe that it does allow us the opportunity to acquire more assets than previously contemplated.

We believe there will be continued distress in the real estate market in the near term, although we feel that prices have found support in some of our target markets. We have seen pricing increase substantially from the lows of the past year or two, but opportunities continue to be available as properties make their way through the financial system and ultimately come to market. We are also seeing more opportunities to work with landowners under options or joint ventures and obtain entitlements in order to create long term shareholder value. Our view of the mid to long term is more positive, and we expect property values to improve over the four- to ten-year time horizon. Our plan has been to be in a position to capitalize on these opportunities for capital appreciation.

We may acquire other real estate assets and real estate related investments as part of our investment strategy as follows:

*Other Property Acquisitions.* We may acquire partially improved and improved properties, particularly those in which there is a potential for a change in use, such as an industrial building changing to high density residential. In addition to fee simple interests, we may acquire long-term leasehold interests and leasehold estates. We may acquire real estate or real estate-related investments relating to properties in various other stages of development. We may enter into purchase and leaseback transactions, under which we will purchase a property from an entity and lease the property back to such entity under a net lease.

*Making Loans and Investments in Mortgages.* We do not intend to engage in the business of originating, warehousing or servicing real estate mortgages as a primary business, but we may do so as an ancillary result of our main business of investing in real estate properties. We may provide seller financing on certain properties if, in our judgment, it is prudent to do so. However, our main business is not investing in real estate mortgages, mortgage-backed securities or other securities.

*Investment in Securities.* We may invest in equity securities of another entity, other than the Operating Partnership or a wholly-owned subsidiary of us, only if a majority of our directors, including a majority of the independent directors not otherwise interested in such transaction, approve the transaction as being fair, competitive, commercially reasonable and consistent with our investment objectives. We may also invest in community facility district bonds. We will limit this type of investment to no more than 25% of our total assets, subject to certain tests for REIT qualification. We may purchase our own securities when traded on a secondary market or on a national securities exchange or national market system, if a majority of the directors determine such purchase to be in our best interests (in addition to repurchases made pursuant to our 2007 equity incentive plan which are subject to the right of first refusal upon transfer by plan participants). We may in the future acquire some, all or substantially all of the securities or assets of other REITs or similar entities where that investment would be consistent with our investment policies and the REIT qualification requirements.

*Joint Ventures.* We may invest in limited partnerships, general partnerships and other joint venture arrangements with nonaffiliated third parties and with other real estate entities' programs formed by, sponsored by or affiliated with the Advisor or an affiliate of the Advisor, if a majority of our independent directors who are not otherwise interested in the transaction approve the transaction as being fair and reasonable to us and our stockholders and on substantially the same terms and conditions as those received by the other joint venturers. When we believe it is appropriate, we will borrow funds to acquire or finance properties.

### **Critical Accounting Policies**

As defined by the SEC, our critical accounting policies will be those which are both important to the portrayal of our financial condition and results of operations, and which require management's most difficult, subjective, and/or complex judgments, often as a result of the need to make significant estimates and assumptions about the future effect of matters that are inherently uncertain. Such estimates and assumptions will be made and evaluated on an on-going basis using information that is currently available as well as various other assumptions believed to be reasonable under the circumstances. An accounting estimate requires assumptions about uncertain matters that could have a material effect on the Consolidated Financial Statements if a different amount within a range of estimates were used or if estimates changed from period-to-period. Estimates are made under facts and circumstances at a point in time, and changes in those facts and circumstances could produce actual results that differ from when those estimates were made, perhaps in material adverse ways. When we begin our operating activities, we anticipate that our critical accounting policies will include those which are described immediately below.

### *Use of Estimates*

The preparation of financial statements in accordance with GAAP requires management to make estimates and judgments that affect the reported amounts of assets, liabilities, revenues and expenses, and the related disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities. These estimates will be made and evaluated on an on-going basis, using information that is currently available as well as applicable assumptions believed to be reasonable under the circumstances. Actual results may vary from those estimates; in addition, such estimates could be different under other conditions and/or if we use alternative assumptions.

### *Principles of Consolidation*

Since the Company's wholly owned subsidiary, Shopoff General Partner, LLC, is the sole general partner of the Operating Partnership and has unilateral control over its management and major operating decisions (even if additional limited partners are admitted to the Operating Partnership), the accounts of the Operating Partnership are consolidated in the Company's consolidated financial statements. The accounts of Shopoff General Partner, LLC are also consolidated in the Company's consolidated financial statements since it is wholly owned by the Company. SPT Real Estate Finance, LLC, SPT-SWRC, LLC, SPT-Lake Elsinore Holding Co., LLC, SPT AZ Land Holdings, LLC, and Shopoff TRS, Inc. are also 100% owned by the Operating Partnership and therefore their accounts are consolidated in the Company's financial statements as of and for the three and six month periods ended June 30, 2010 and as of December 31, 2009.

All intercompany accounts and transactions are eliminated in consolidation.

### *Cash and Cash Equivalents*

The Company considers all highly liquid short-term investments with original maturities of three months or less when purchased to be cash equivalents.

### *Revenue and Profit Recognition*

It is the Company's policy to recognize gains on the sale of investment properties. In order to qualify for immediate recognition of revenue on the transaction date, the Company requires that the sale be consummated, the buyer's initial and continuing investment be adequate to demonstrate a commitment to pay, any receivable resulting from seller financing not be subject to future subordination, and that the usual risks and rewards of ownership be transferred to the buyer. We would expect these criteria to be met at the close of escrow. The Company's policy also requires that the seller not have any substantial continuing involvement with the property. If we have a commitment to the buyer in a specific dollar amount, such commitment will be accrued and the recognized gain on the sale will be reduced accordingly.

Transactions with unrelated parties which in substance are sales but which do not meet the criteria described in the preceding paragraph will be accounted for using the appropriate method (such as the installment, deposit, or cost recovery method) as set forth in the Company's policy. Any disposition of a real estate asset which in substance is not deemed to be a "sale" for accounting purposes will be reported as a financing, leasing, or profit-sharing arrangement as considered appropriate under the circumstances of the specific transaction.

For income-producing properties, we intend to recognize base rental income on a straight-line basis over the terms of the respective lease agreements (including any rent holidays). Differences between recognized rental income and amounts contractually due under the lease agreements will be credited or charged (as applicable) to rent receivable. Tenant reimbursement revenue, which is expected to be comprised of additional amounts recoverable from tenants for common area maintenance expenses and certain other expenses, will be recognized as revenue in the period in which the related expenses are incurred.

Interest income on the Company's real estate notes receivable is recognized on an accrual basis over the life of the investment using the interest method. Direct loan origination fees and origination or acquisition costs are amortized over the term of the loan as an adjustment to interest income. The Company will place loans on nonaccrual status when concern exists as to the ultimate collection of principal or interest. When a loan is placed on nonaccrual status, the Company will reserve the accrual for unpaid interest and will not recognize subsequent interest income until the cash is received, or the loan returns to accrual status.

We believe that the accounting policy related to revenue recognition is a critical accounting policy because of the significant impact revenue recognition will have on our consolidated financial statements.

#### *Cost of Real Estate Assets Not Held for Sale*

Real estate assets principally consist of wholly-owned undeveloped real estate for which we obtain entitlements and hold such assets as long term investments for eventual sale. Undeveloped real estate not held for sale will be carried at cost subject to downward adjustment as described in "Impairment" below. Cost will include the purchase price of the land, related acquisition fees, as well as costs related to entitlement, property taxes and interest. In addition, any significant other costs directly related to acquisition and development of the land will be capitalized. The carrying amount of land will be charged to earnings when the related revenue is recognized.

Income-producing properties will generally be carried at historical cost less accumulated depreciation. The cost of income-producing properties will include the purchase price of the land and buildings and related improvements. Expenditures that increase the service life of such properties will be capitalized; the cost of maintenance and repairs will be charged to expense as incurred. The cost of building and improvements will be depreciated on a straight-line basis over their estimated useful lives, which are expected to principally range from approximately 15 to 39 years. When depreciable property is retired or disposed of, the related cost and accumulated depreciation will be removed from the accounts and any gain or loss will be reflected in operations.

The costs related to abandoned projects are expensed when management believes that such projects are no longer viable investments.

#### *Property Held for Sale*

The Company has a policy for property held for sale. Our policy, which addresses financial accounting and reporting for the impairment or disposal of long-lived assets, requires that in a period in which a component of an entity either has been disposed of or is classified as held for sale, the income statements for current and prior periods report the results of operations of the component as discontinued operations.

When a property is held for sale, such property will be carried at the lower of (i) its carrying amount or (ii) the estimated fair value less costs to sell. In addition, a depreciable property being held for sale (such as a building) will cease to be depreciated. We will classify operating properties as held for sale in the period in which all of the following criteria are met:

- Management, having the authority to approve the action, commits to a plan to sell the asset;
- The asset is available for immediate sale in its present condition, subject only to terms that are usual and customary for sales of such asset;
- An active program to locate a buyer and other actions required to complete the plan to sell the asset has been initiated;
- The sale of the asset is probable, and the transfer of the asset is expected to qualify for recognition as a completed transaction within one year;
- The asset is being actively marketed for sale at a price that is reasonable in relation to its current estimated fair value; and
- Given the actions required to complete the plan to sell the asset, it is unlikely that significant changes to the plan would be made or that the plan would be abandoned.

Selling commissions and closing costs will be expensed when incurred.

We believe that the accounting related to property valuation and impairment is a critical accounting estimate because: (1) assumptions inherent in the valuation of our property are highly subjective and susceptible to change and (2) the impact of recognizing impairments on our property could be material to our consolidated balance sheets and statements of operations. We will evaluate our property for impairment periodically on an asset-by-asset basis. This evaluation includes three critical assumptions with regard to future sales prices, cost of sales and absorption. The three critical assumptions include the timing of the sale, the land residual value and the discount rate applied to determine the fair value of the income-producing properties on the balance sheet date. Our assumptions on the timing of sales are critical because the real estate industry has historically been cyclical and sensitive to changes in economic conditions such as interest rates and unemployment levels. Changes in these economic conditions could materially affect the projected sales price, costs to acquire and entitle our land and cost to acquire our income-producing properties. Our assumptions on land residual value are critical because they will affect our estimate of what a willing buyer would pay and what a willing seller would sell a parcel of land for (other than in a forced liquidation) in order to generate a market rate operating margin and return. Our assumption on discount rates is critical because the selection of a discount rate affects the estimated fair value of the income-producing properties. A higher discount rate reduces the estimated fair value of such properties, while a lower discount rate increases the estimated fair value of these properties. Because of changes in economic and market conditions and assumptions and estimates required of management in valuing property held for investment during these changing market conditions, actual results could differ materially from management's assumptions and may require material property impairment charges to be recorded in the future.

### *Long-Lived Asset and Impairments*

The Company has a policy for property held for investment. Our policy requires that long-lived assets be reviewed for impairment whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that their carrying amounts may not be recoverable. If the cost basis of a long-lived asset held for use is greater than the projected future undiscounted net cash flows from such asset (excluding interest), an impairment loss is recognized. Impairment losses are calculated as the difference between the cost basis of an asset and its estimated fair value.

Our policy also requires us to separately report discontinued operations and extends that reporting to a component of an entity that either has been disposed of (by sale, abandonment, or in a distribution to shareholders) or is classified as held for sale. Assets to be disposed of are reported at the lower of the carrying amount or estimated fair value less costs to sell.

### *Estimated Fair Value of Financial Instruments and Certain Other Assets/Liabilities*

The Company's financial instruments include cash, notes receivable, prepaid expenses, accounts payable and accrued liabilities and notes and interest payable. Management believes that the fair value of these financial instruments approximates their carrying amounts based on current market indicators, such as prevailing interest rates and the short-term maturities of such financial instruments.

Management has concluded that it is not practical to estimate the fair value of amounts due to and from related parties. The Company's policy requires, where reasonable, that information pertinent to those financial instruments be disclosed, such as the carrying amount, interest rate, and maturity date; such information is included in Note 7 of the consolidated financial statements. Management believes it is not practical to estimate the fair value of related party financial instruments because the transactions cannot be assumed to have been consummated at arm's length, there are no quoted market values available for such instruments, and an independent valuation would not be practicable due to the lack of data regarding similar instruments (if any) and the associated potential cost.

The Company does not have any assets or liabilities that are measured at fair value on a recurring basis (except as disclosed in Note 2 of the accompanying condensed consolidated financial statements) and, as of June 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009, did not have any assets or liabilities that were measured at fair value on a nonrecurring basis.

When the Company has a loan that is identified as being impaired or being reviewed for impairment whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that their carrying amounts may not be recoverable in accordance with Company policy and is collateral dependent, it is evaluated for impairment by comparing the estimated fair value of the underlying collateral, less costs to sell, to the carrying value of the loan.

Our Company policy establishes a three-tier fair value hierarchy, which prioritizes the inputs used in measuring fair value. These tiers include: Level 1, defined as observable inputs such as quoted prices for identical financial instruments in active markets; Level 2, defined as inputs other than quoted prices in active markets that are either directly or indirectly observable; and Level 3, defined as instruments that have little to no pricing observability as of the reported date. These financial instruments do not have two-way markets and are measured using management's best estimate of fair value, where the inputs into the determination of fair value require significant management judgment or estimation.

The Company's policy also discusses determining fair value when the volume and level of activity for the asset or liability has significantly decreased, and identifying transactions that are not orderly. Company policy emphasizes that even if there has been a significant decrease in the volume and level of activity for an asset or liability and regardless of the valuation technique(s) used, the objective of a fair value measurement remains the same. Fair value is the price that would be received to sell an asset or be paid to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction (that is, not a forced liquidation or distressed sale) between market participants at the measurement date under current market conditions. Furthermore, Company policy requires additional disclosures regarding the inputs and valuation technique(s) used in estimating the fair value of assets and liabilities as well as any changes in such valuation technique(s).

#### *Notes Receivable*

The Company's notes receivable are recorded at cost, net of loan loss reserves, and evaluated for impairment at each balance sheet. The amortized cost of a note receivable is the outstanding unpaid principal balance, net of unamortized costs and fees directly associated with the origination or acquisition of the loan.

The Company considers a loan to be impaired when, based upon current information and events, it believes that it is probable that the Company will be unable to collect all amounts due under the contractual terms of the loan agreement. A reserve is established when the present value of payments expected to be received, observable market prices, or the estimated fair value of the collateral (for loans that are dependent on the collateral for repayment) of an impaired loan is lower than the carrying value of that loan.

#### *Organization and Offering Costs*

The Company's organization and offering costs may be paid by the Company's Advisor, broker-dealer and their affiliates on the Company's behalf. These organization and offering costs include all expenses to be paid by us in connection with the Company's ongoing initial public offering, including but not limited to (i) legal, accounting, printing, mailing, and filing fees; (ii) charges of the escrow holder; (iii) reimbursement of the broker-dealer for amounts it may pay to reimburse the bona fide diligence expenses of other broker-dealers and registered investment advisors; (iv) reimbursement to the advisor for other costs in connection with preparing supplemental sales materials; (v) the cost of educational conferences held by us (including the travel, meal, and lodging costs of registered representatives of broker-dealers); and (vi) reimbursement to the broker-dealer for travel, meals, lodging, and attendance fees incurred by employees of the broker-dealer to attend retail seminars conducted by broker-dealers.

Pursuant to the advisory agreement and the broker-dealer agreement, the Company is obligated to reimburse the advisor, the broker-dealer or their affiliates, as applicable, for organization and offering costs paid by them on the Company's behalf, provided that the Advisor is obligated to reimburse us to the extent the organization and offering costs incurred by us in the offering exceed 12.34% of the Company's gross offering proceeds. The Company's Advisor and its affiliates have incurred on the Company's behalf organization and offering costs of \$5.23 million through June 30, 2010. Such costs are only a liability to us to the extent the organization and offering costs do not exceed 12.34% of the gross proceeds of the offering. From commencement of the Company's ongoing initial public offering through June 30, 2010, the Company had sold 1,887,700 shares for gross offering proceeds of \$17.93 million, excluding shares purchased by the Sponsor and excluding vested restricted stock grants issued to certain officers and directors, and recorded organization and offering costs of \$2.63 million.

#### *Potential Investments in Partnerships and Joint Ventures.*

If we invest in limited partnerships, general partnerships, or other joint ventures we will evaluate such investments for potential variable interests pursuant to Company policy. We will evaluate variable interest entities (VIEs) in which we hold a beneficial interest for consolidation. VIEs, as defined by Company policy, are legal entities with insubstantial equity, whose equity investors lack the ability to make decisions about the entity's activities, or whose equity investors do not have the right to receive the residual returns of the entity if they occur. An entity will be considered a VIE if one of the following applies:

- The total equity investment at risk is not sufficient to permit the entity to finance its activities without additional subordinated financial support from other parties (i.e., the equity investment at risk is not greater than the expected losses of the entity).
- As a group the holders of the equity investment at risk lack any one of the following three characteristics of a controlling financial interest:
  - The direct or indirect ability to make decisions about an entity's activities through voting rights or similar rights.
  - The obligation to absorb the expected losses of the entity if they occur.
  - The right to receive the expected residual returns of the entity if they occur.

An equity investment of less than 10% of total assets generally should be considered to be insufficient to fund the entity's operations unless there is clear evidence to the contrary, such as evidence that it can get financing for its activities without additional subordinated financial support.

If the Company is the interest holder that will absorb a majority of the VIE's expected losses and/or receive a majority of the VIE's expected residual returns, we will be deemed to be the primary beneficiary and must consolidate the VIE. Management will use its judgment when determining if we are the primary beneficiary of, or have a controlling interest in, an unconsolidated entity. Factors considered in determining whether we have significant influence or we have control include risk and reward sharing, experience and financial condition of the other partners, voting rights, involvement in day-to-day capital and operating decisions and continuing involvement. In the primary beneficiary decision, it is important to realize that a holder which will absorb the majority of losses takes precedence over any other interest holder. The determination of which enterprise (if any) is the primary beneficiary would be made as of the date the company first becomes involved with the VIE — unless events requiring reconsideration of the status of the entity's variable interest holders have occurred.

Investments in companies that are not consolidated will be accounted for using the equity method when we have the ability to exert significant influence. Generally, significant influence will exist if we have the ability to exercise significant influence over the operating and financial policies of an investee, which may need to include the ability to significantly influence the outcome of corporate actions requiring shareholder approval of an investee. Significant influence is generally presumed to be achieved by owning 20% or more of the voting stock of the investee. However, we will be required to evaluate all of the facts and circumstances relating to the investment to determine whether there is predominant evidence contradicting our ability to exercise significant influence, such as the inability by us to obtain financial information from the investee. Under this method, an investee company's accounts are not reflected within the Company's consolidated balance sheet and statement of operation; however, the Company's share of the earnings or losses of the investee company will be reflected in the caption "Equity in net earning of unconsolidated subsidiaries" in the Company's statement of operations. The Company's carrying value in an equity method investee company will be reflected in the caption "Investments in unconsolidated subsidiaries" in the Company's consolidated balance sheet.

Investments in companies in which we cannot exert significant influence will be accounted for under the cost method. Under this method, the Company's share of the earnings or losses of such investee companies will not be included in the Company's consolidated balance sheet or statement of operations.

The accounting policy relating to the need to consolidate or to account for such investments or acquisitions using the equity method of accounting is a critical accounting policy due to the judgment required in determining whether we are the primary beneficiary or have control or significant influence.

## *Income Taxes*

The Company intends to make an election to be taxed as a REIT under Sections 856 through 860 of the Internal Revenue Code, as amended, or the Code, beginning with the taxable year ending December 31, 2011. The Company has not yet qualified as a REIT. To qualify as a REIT, the Company must meet certain organizational and operational requirements, including a requirement to currently distribute at least 90% of ordinary taxable income to stockholders. As a REIT, the Company generally will not be subject to federal income tax on taxable income that it distributes to its stockholders. If the Company fails to qualify as a REIT in any year, it will be subject to federal income taxes on taxable income at regular corporate rates and will not be permitted to qualify for treatment as a REIT for federal income tax purposes for four years following the year during which qualification is lost unless the Internal Revenue Service grants the Company relief under certain statutory provisions. Such an event could materially adversely affect the Company's net income and net cash available for distribution to stockholders.

At December 31, 2009, the Company had a federal net operating loss ("NOL") carry-forward of approximately \$609,000 and a state NOL carry-forward of approximately \$1,626,000.

Utilization of the NOL carry-forwards may be subject to a substantial annual limitation due to an "ownership change" (as defined) that may have occurred or that could occur in the future, as required by Section 382 of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended (the "Code"), as well as similar state provisions. These ownership changes may limit the amount of NOL carry-forwards, and other tax attributes, that can be utilized annually to offset future taxable income and tax, respectively. In general, an "ownership change" as defined by Section 382 of the Code results from a transaction or series of transactions over a three-year period resulting in an ownership change of more than 50 percentage points of the outstanding stock of a company by certain stockholders or public groups. Since the Company's formation, the Company has raised capital through the issuance of capital stock on several occasions which, combined with the purchasing stockholders' subsequent disposition of those shares, may have resulted in such an ownership change, or could result in an ownership change in the future upon subsequent disposition.

The Company has not completed a study to assess whether an ownership change has occurred or whether there have been multiple ownership changes since the Company's formation due to the complexity and cost associated with such a study. If the Company has experienced an ownership change at any time since its formation, utilization of the NOL carry-forwards, and other tax attributes, would be subject to an annual limitation under Section 382 of the Code. In general, the annual limitation, which is determined by first multiplying the value of the Company's stock at the time of the ownership change by the applicable long-term, tax-exempt rate, could further be subject to additional adjustments, as required. Any limitation may result in the expiration of a portion of the NOL carry-forwards before utilization. Further, until a study is completed and any limitation is known, no amounts are being considered as an uncertain tax position or disclosed as an unrecognized tax benefit under GAAP. Due to the existence of the valuation allowance, future changes in the Company's unrecognized tax benefits will not impact its effective tax rate. Any NOL carry-forwards that will expire prior to utilization as a result of a limitation under Section 382 will be removed from deferred tax assets with a corresponding reduction of the valuation allowance. As of June 30, 2010, the Company did not have any unrecognized tax benefits.

The Company recorded a full valuation allowance against the losses carrying forward and any temporary differences and thus eliminating the tax benefit of the remaining loss carry-forward. In assessing the realizability of the net deferred tax assets, the Company considers whether it is more likely than not that some or all of the deferred tax assets will not be realized. The ultimate realization of deferred tax assets is dependent upon the generation of future taxable income during the periods in which those temporary differences become deductible. Because of the valuation allowance, the Company had no deferred tax expense / (benefit).

## *Stock-Based Compensation*

Stock-based compensation will be accounted for in accordance with Company policy which requires that the compensation costs relating to share-based payment transactions (including the cost of all employee stock options) be recognized in the consolidated financial statements. That cost will be measured based on the estimated fair value of the equity or liability instruments issued. Our Company policy covers a wide range of share-based compensation arrangements including share options, restricted share plans, performance-based awards, share appreciation rights, and employee share purchase plans.

### *Noncontrolling Interests in Consolidated Financial Statements*

The Company classifies noncontrolling interests (previously referred to as “minority interest”) as part of consolidated net earnings (\$0 for the each of the six and twelve months ended June 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009, respectively) and includes the accumulated amount of noncontrolling interests as part of stockholders’ equity (\$100 at June 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009, respectively). Earnings per share continues to reflect amounts attributable only to the Company. Similarly, in the presentation of shareholders’ equity, the Company distinguishes between equity amounts attributable to the Company’s stockholders and amounts attributable to the noncontrolling interests — previously classified as minority interest outside of stockholders’ equity. Increases and decreases in the Company’s controlling financial interests in consolidated subsidiaries will be reported in equity similar to treasury stock transactions. If a change in ownership of a consolidated subsidiary results in loss of control and deconsolidation, any retained ownership interests are remeasured with the gain or loss reported in net earnings.

### *Recently Issued Accounting Pronouncements*

Recent accounting pronouncements issued by the FASB (including its Emerging Issues Task Force), the AICPA, and the SEC did not or are not believed by management to have a material impact on our present or future consolidated financial statements.

### **Subsequent Events**

#### ***Mesquite Loan***

On July 15, 2010, management received correspondence from Mesquite Venture I, LLC regarding the maturity of its \$600,000 promissory note with SPT Real Estate Finance. In its correspondence, Mesquite Venture I, LLC indicated that it did not have sufficient liquidity to pay off its promissory note and requested a two year extension on the maturity of the promissory note. Management began discussions with Mesquite Venture I, LLC regarding the matured promissory note and request for extension. Management and Mesquite Venture I, LLC subsequently agreed to document and execute a reinstatement and modification agreement whereby management would (i) grant an extension to Mesquite Venture I, LLC resulting in a new maturity date of January 15, 2011, (ii) management would agree to waive extension fees relating to the modification, (iii) the interest rate during the extension period would be 14%, (iv) all accrued and unpaid interest through the date of the reinstatement and modification would be added to the outstanding principal balance of the promissory note, (v) during the extension period, Mesquite Venture I, LLC would agree to make monthly payments of \$5,000 towards accrued and unpaid interest, and (vi) Mesquite Venture I, LLC agreed to provide additional collateral, specifically fifty percent (50%) of one guarantors ownership position in Silvertip Resort Village, LLC, a limited liability company whose primary purpose is real estate investment which currently owns a hotel site in northern California. Management is in the process of documenting this reinstatement and modification and believes that all unpaid principal and all accrued and unpaid interest will be paid by Mesquite Venture I, LLC on or before January 15, 2011.

#### ***Desert Moon Estates Project***

On July 13, 2010 the Company received a Notice of Potential Sale of Real Estate for Delinquent Special Assessment (or “Notice”) from the Watson Road Community Facilities District. This Notice was received when the Company failed to pay a required special assessment when due on June 1, 2010. The Desert Moon Estates Project is obligated to pay a bi-annual special assessment to the City of Buckeye, Arizona and management has been in communication with the City of Buckeye, Arizona regarding this past due amount which approximates \$266,607. The Notice requires full payment by August 18, 2010. If the Company is unable to make the full payment by August 18, 2010, the City of Buckeye has additional remedies for payment available to them including the ability to start foreclosure proceedings against the property. Management believes that it will be able to pay this past due special assessment before a foreclosure sale was to occur.

#### ***Desert Moon Estates Note Payable***

On July 19, 2010, AZPro Developments, Inc. negotiated an extension of a \$500,000 principal pay down it was obligated to make by July 31, 2010. This pay down, which is a requirement of a previously negotiated one year extension of the maturity date, was granted to SPT AZ Land Holdings, LLC by AZPro Development Inc. The pay down has been extended by one month to August 31, 2010 from July 31, 2010.

#### ***Other***

Subsequent to June 30, 2010 and through August 13, 2010, we sold and accepted \$125,400 of gross offering proceeds to purchase 13,200 shares of our common stock.

Subsequent to June 30, 2010, additional organization and offering costs totaling approximately \$9,500 were incurred by the Advisor and its affiliates on behalf of the Company.

### **Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk**

Market risk includes risks that arise from changes in interest rates, foreign currency exchange rates, commodity prices, equity prices and other market changes that affect market sensitive instruments. In pursuing our business plan, we expect that the primary market risk to which we will be exposed is interest rate risk.

We may be exposed to the effects of interest rate changes primarily as a result of borrowings used to maintain liquidity and fund expansion and refinancing of our real estate investment portfolio and operations. Our interest rate risk management objectives will be to limit the impact of interest rate changes on earnings, prepayment penalties and cash flows and to lower overall borrowing costs while taking into account variable interest rate risk. To achieve our objectives, we may borrow at fixed rates or variable rates. We currently have limited exposure to financial market risks because we are in an early stage of our operations. We currently invest our cash and cash equivalents in an FDIC-insured savings account which, by its nature, is subject to interest rate fluctuations. As of June 30, 2010, a 10% increase or decrease in interest rates would have no material effect on our interest income.

In addition to changes in interest rates, the value of our real estate and real estate related investments is subject to fluctuations based on changes in local and regional economic conditions and changes in the creditworthiness of lessees, and which may affect our ability to refinance our debt if necessary.

### **Item 4T. Controls and Procedures**

We carried out an evaluation of the effectiveness of the design and operation of our disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) as of June 30, 2010. This evaluation was carried out under the supervision and with the participation of our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer. Based upon that evaluation, our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer concluded that, as of June 30, 2010, our disclosure controls and procedures are effective.

There have been no significant changes in our internal controls over financial reporting during the quarter ended June 30, 2010 that have materially affected or are reasonably likely to materially affect such controls.

Disclosure controls and procedures are controls and other procedures that are designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed in our reports filed or submitted under the Exchange Act are recorded, processed, summarized, and reported within the time periods specified in the SEC's rules and forms. Disclosure controls and procedures include, without limitation, controls and procedures designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed in our reports filed under the Exchange Act is accumulated and communicated to management, including our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure.

#### ***Limitations on the Effectiveness of Internal Controls***

Our management does not expect that our disclosure controls and procedures or our internal control over financial reporting will necessarily prevent all fraud and material error. An internal control system, no matter how well conceived and operated, can provide only reasonable, not absolute, assurance that the objectives of the control system are met. Further, the design of a control system must reflect the fact that there are resource constraints, and the benefits of controls must be considered relative to their costs. Because of the inherent limitations in all control systems, no evaluation of controls can provide absolute assurance that all control issues and instances of fraud, if any, within the Company have been detected. These inherent limitations include the realities that judgments in decision-making can be faulty, and that breakdowns can occur because of simple error or mistake. Additionally, controls can be circumvented by the individual acts of some persons, by collusion of two or more people, or by management override of the internal control. The design of any system of controls also is based in part upon certain assumptions about the likelihood of future events, and there can be no assurance that any design will succeed in achieving its stated goals under all potential future conditions. Over time, control may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

## PART II — OTHER INFORMATION

### Item 1. Legal Proceedings

A previously owned real estate property known as the “Pulte Home Project” is the subject of a dispute regarding obligations retained by both the seller, Pulte Home, when it sold the project to an affiliate of the Company, SPT SWRC, LLC, on December 31, 2008, and by SPT SWRC, LLC when it resold the project to Khalda on March 20, 2009, to complete certain improvements, such as grading and infrastructure (the “Improvements”). Both sales were made subject to the following agreements which, by their terms, required the Improvements to be made: (i) a Reconveyance Agreement, dated November 15, 2007, by and among Pulte Home and the prior owners of the project — Barratt American Incorporated, Meadow Vista Holdings, LLC (“Meadow Vista”) and Newport Road 103, LLC (“Newport”) (the “Reconveyance Agreement”), and (ii) a letter agreement, dated December 30, 2008, executed by SPT SWRC, LLC, Meadow Vista, and Newport, and acknowledged by Pulte Home (the “Subsequent Letter Agreement”). Meadow Vista and Newport, as joint claimants (the “Claimants”) against Pulte Home and SPT SWRC, LLC, have initiated binding arbitration in an effort to require Pulte Home to reaffirm its obligations under the Reconveyance Agreement and the Subsequent Letter Agreement to make the Improvements in light of the subsequent transfer of ownership of the project to Khalda, and to require that certain remedial measures be taken to restore the site to a more marketable condition. SPT SWRC, LLC maintains that it is not a proper party to the arbitration, because the declaratory action being sought by the Claimants is to establish rights of the Claimants against Pulte Home, and not against SPT SWRC, LLC, and neither SPT SWRC, LLC nor Pulte Home has taken the position that their respective transfers of the project has released them from the obligation to make the Improvements. The arbitration process is on-going and, although we believe the request for declaratory relief by the Claimants has no legal basis and that the issue is not arbitrable since no actual dispute exists, we cannot predict the outcome of the arbitration proceedings at this time.

### Item 1A. Risk Factors

There are no material changes to the risk factors as previously disclosed in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2009. The materialization of any risks and uncertainties identified in our Forward Looking Statements contained in this report together with those previously disclosed in the Form 10-K and 10-Q or those that are presently unforeseen could result in significant adverse effects on our financial condition, results of operations and cash flows. See Item 2. “Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations — Forward Looking Statements” in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q.

### Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds

On August 29, 2007, our Registration Statement on Form S-11 (File No. 333-139042), covering a public offering, which we refer to as the “Offering,” of up to 2,000,000 common shares for \$9.50 per share and up to 18,100,000 common shares at \$10.00 per share, was declared effective under the Securities Act of 1933. Proceeds raised from the Offering were placed in an interest bearing escrow account until August 29, 2008 when we received and accepted subscriptions for the minimum offering of 1,700,000 shares, as more fully described in the Registration Statement.

As of June 30, 2010, we had sold 1,887,700 shares of common stock in the Offering excluding shares purchased by our Sponsor and excluding vested restricted stock grants issued to certain officers and directors, raising gross proceeds of \$17,933,150. From this amount, we have incurred approximately \$5,233,000 in organization and offering costs (of which approximately \$2,631,000 has been recorded in our financial statements). As of June 30, 2010, we had net offering proceeds from the Offering of approximately \$16,314,000 which includes shares purchased by our Sponsor and the vesting of restricted stock grants and stock options issued to certain executive officers and directors. We have used the net offering proceeds to purchase our interests in eight properties, two of which have been subsequently sold, and to originate three loans, (two of which we have obtained the underlying real estate which served as collateral for the loans), to pay \$594,000 in acquisition or origination fees, \$216,953 in disposition fees, and \$337,742 in asset management fees and to pay other operating expenses and fees. For more information regarding how we used the net proceeds from our initial public offering to date (along with how we used cash from operating activities) through June 30, 2010, see our condensed consolidated statements of cash flows included in this report.

During the period covered by this Form 10-Q, we did not sell any equity securities that were not registered under the Securities Act of 1933, and we did not repurchase any of our securities.

**Item 3. Defaults Upon Senior Securities**

None

**Item 4. (Removed and Reserved)**

**Item 5. Other Information**

None

**Item 6. Exhibits**

Exhibit 31.1: Certificate of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.

Exhibit 31.2: Certificate of Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.

Exhibit 32.1: Certificate of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.

Exhibit 32.2: Certificate of Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.

**SIGNATURES**

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

**SHOPOFF PROPERTIES TRUST, INC.**  
*(Registrant)*

Date August 13, 2010

By: /s/ William A. Shopoff  
William A. Shopoff  
*Chief Executive Officer*  
*(Principal Executive Officer)*

Date August 13, 2010

By: /s/ Kevin M. Bridges  
Kevin M. Bridges  
*Chief Financial Officer*  
*(Principal Financial Officer)*

**EXHIBIT INDEX**

- Exhibit 31.1: Certificate of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
- Exhibit 31.2: Certificate of Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
- Exhibit 32.1: Certificate of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
- Exhibit 32.2: Certificate of Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.

Certificate Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, As Adopted  
Pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes- Oxley Act of 2002

I, William A. Shopoff, the Chief Executive Officer of Shopoff Properties Trust, Inc. (the "Company"), certify that:

1. I have reviewed this quarterly report on Form 10-Q of the Company;
2. Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this report;
3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this report, fairly present in all material respects the financial conditions, results of operation and cash flows of the Company as of, the periods presented in this report;
4. The Company's other certifying officer(s) and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) and internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f)) for the Company and have:
  - (a) Designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the Company, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared;
  - (b) Designed such internal control over financial reporting, or caused such internal control over financial reporting to be designed under our supervision, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles;
  - (c) Evaluated the effectiveness of the Company's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation; and
  - (d) Disclosed in this report any change in the Company's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the Company's most recent fiscal quarter (the Company's fourth fiscal quarter in the case of an annual report) that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the Company's internal control over financial reporting.
5. The Company's other certifying officer(s) and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the Company's auditors and the audit committee of the Company's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent functions):
  - (a) All significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the Company's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and
  - (b) Any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the Company's internal control over financial reporting.

Dated: August 13, 2010

/s/ William A. Shopoff  
Name: William A. Shopoff  
Title: Chief Executive Officer

---

Certificate Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, As Adopted  
Pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes- Oxley Act of 2002

I, Kevin M. Bridges, the Chief Financial Officer of Shopoff Properties Trust, Inc. (the "Company"), certify that:

1. I have reviewed this quarterly report on Form 10-Q of the Company;
2. Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this report;
3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this report, fairly present in all material respects the financial conditions, results of operation and cash flows of the Company as of, the periods presented in this report;
4. The Company's other certifying officer(s) and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) and internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f)) for the Company and have:
  - (a) Designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the Company, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared;
  - (b) Designed such internal control over financial reporting, or caused such internal control over financial reporting to be designed under our supervision, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles;
  - (c) Evaluated the effectiveness of the Company's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation; and
  - (d) Disclosed in this report any change in the Company's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the Company's most recent fiscal quarter (the Company's fourth fiscal quarter in the case of an annual report) that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the Company's internal control over financial reporting.
5. The Company's other certifying officer(s) and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the Company's auditors and the audit committee of the Company's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent functions):
  - (a) All significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the Company's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and
  - (b) Any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the Company's internal control over financial reporting.

Dated: August 13, 2010

/s/ Kevin M. Bridges

Name: Kevin M. Bridges

Title: Chief Financial Officer

---

**Certification Pursuant to  
Exchange Act Rule 15d-14(b) and  
18 U.S.C. Section 1350**

In connection with the Quarterly Report of Shopoff Properties Trust, Inc. (the "Company") on Form 10-Q for the period ending June 30, 2010, as filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on the date hereof (the "Report"), I, William A. Shopoff, Chief Executive Officer of the Company, certify, to the best of my knowledge, pursuant to Exchange Act Rule 15d-14(b) and 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes Oxley Act of 2002, that:

- i. The report fully complies with the requirements of Section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act 1934; and
- ii. The information contained in the Report fairly presents, in all material respects, the financial condition of the Company.

A signed original of this written statement required by Section 906, or other document authenticating, acknowledging, or otherwise adopting the signature that appears in typed form within the electronic version of this written statement required by Section 906, has been provided to Shopoff Properties Trust, Inc. and will be retained by Shopoff Properties Trust, Inc. and furnished to the Securities and Exchange Commission or its staff upon request.

Dated: August 13, 2010

/s/ William A. Shopoff

Name: William A. Shopoff

Title: Chief Executive Officer

---

**Certification Pursuant to  
Exchange Act Rule 15d-14(b) and  
18 U.S.C. Section 1350**

In connection with the Quarterly Report of Shopoff Properties Trust, Inc. (the "Company") on Form 10-Q for the period ending June 30, 2010, as filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on the date hereof (the "Report"), I, Kevin M. Bridges, Chief Financial Officer of the Company, certify, to the best of my knowledge, pursuant to Exchange Act Rule 15d-14(b) and 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes Oxley Act of 2002, that:

- i. The report fully complies with the requirements of Section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act 1934; and
- ii. The information contained in the Report fairly presents, in all material respects, the financial condition of the Company.

A signed original of this written statement required by Section 906, or other document authenticating, acknowledging, or otherwise adopting the signature that appears in typed form within the electronic version of this written statement required by Section 906, has been provided to Shopoff Properties Trust, Inc. and will be retained by Shopoff Properties Trust, Inc. and furnished to the Securities and Exchange Commission or its staff upon request.

Dated: August 13, 2010

/s/ Kevin M. Bridges

Name: Kevin M. Bridges

Title: Chief Financial Officer

---